

FRATTINI EXTENSIONS AND CLASS FIELD THEORY

TH. WEIGEL

ABSTRACT. A. Brumer has shown that every profinite group of strict cohomological p -dimension 2 possesses a class field theory - the tautological class field theory. In particular, this result also applies to the universal p -Frattini extension \tilde{G}_p of a finite group G . We use this fact in order to establish a class field theory for every p -Frattini extension $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ (Thm.A). The role of the class field module will be played by the p -Frattini module. The universal norms of this class field theory will carry important information about the p -Frattini extension $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$. A detailed analysis will lead to a characterization of finite groups G which have a p -Frattini extension $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ in which \tilde{G} is a weakly-orientable p -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2 (Thm.B).

In section §5 we characterize the p -Frattini extensions $\pi_{A_1}: Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $p \neq 2, 3, 5$, by some kind of localization technique. This answers a question posed by M.D.Fried and M.Jarden (Thm.C). It is quite likely that such an approach might also be successful for the characterization of the p -Frattini extensions $\pi_D: X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow X_D(\mathbb{F}_p)$, where X_D is the simple simply-connected split \mathbb{Z} -Chevalley group scheme with Dynkin diagram D .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime number. An extension of G by a pro- p group A

$$(1.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 1$$

is called a p -Frattini extension, if $im(\iota)$ is contained in the Frattini subgroup of \tilde{G} . The study of p -Frattini extensions of finite groups has a long history. W.Gaschütz (cf. [8]) showed that every finite group G has a universal elementary p -abelian Frattini extension $\pi_{/p}: \tilde{G}_{/p} \rightarrow G$ which kernel is - considered as (left) $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module - isomorphic to $\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$, where $\Omega_k(G, -) = \Omega^{-k}(G, -)$ denotes the k^{th} -Heller translate in the category ${}_G \text{mod}_p$ of finitely generated (left) $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. Based on this result J.Cossey, L.G.Kovács and O.H.Kegel [3] showed the existence of a universal p -Frattini cover $\pi_p: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow G$. As the universal p -Frattini cover coincides with the minimal projective cover (cf. [6, Prop.20.33]), K.Gruenberg's theorem [7] implies that \tilde{G}_p is of cohomological p -dimension less or equal to 1, i.e. $cd_p(\tilde{G}_p) \leq 1$. In particular, $ker(\pi_p)$ is a finitely generated free pro- p group (cf. [12, §I.4.2, Cor.2]).

If p divides the order of G , the profinite group \tilde{G}_p is of strict cohomological p -dimension 2. For these groups A.Brumer [2] showed the existence of a *tautological class field theory*. The goal of this paper is to use this tautological class field theory for the group \tilde{G}_p in order to obtain new result on p -Frattini extensions.

The most efficient way to establish a class field theory is to use the theory of *cohomological Mackey-Functors*. A. Dress introduced this notion in [4]. The

exposition given by P.Webb in [15] will be particularly useful for our purpose, and therefore we will follow it closely as far as possible.

The following theorem can be seen as a “structure theorem for p -Frattini extensions”, which combines W.Gaschütz theorem with the fact that the inflation mapping $H^1(\pi, S)$ is bijective for a p -Frattini extension π as in (1.1) and an irreducible (left) $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module S [16, Prop.3.1]. Its proof can be found in section 3.3 (cf. Thm.3.1, Cor.3.2).

Theorem A. *Let G be a finite group, let p be a prime number and let $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be a p -Frattini extension. Let $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ be the set of all open normal subgroups of \tilde{G} being contained in $\ker(\pi)$. Then there exists a p -class field theory (\mathbf{C}, γ) for (\tilde{G}, \mathcal{F}) , i.e.,*

- (i) \mathbf{C} is a cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor of type H^0 (this is a short form to say that it has Galois descent),
 - (ii) $\mathbf{C}_U = \Omega_2(\tilde{G}/U, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$,
 - (iii) $\gamma: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p$ is a surjective morphism of cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors, where \mathbf{Ab}^p denotes the cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor of maximal p -abelian quotients (cf. §3.1),
 - (iv) for all $U, V \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$, $V \leq U$, γ induces an isomorphism
- $$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{C}_U / \text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq (U/V)_p^{ab},$$
- (v) let $U, V, W \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$, $V, W \leq U$, such that U/V and U/W are abelian p -groups. Then $\text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{C}}) = \text{im}(N_{W,U}^{\mathbf{C}})$ implies $V = W$.

The class field theory (\mathbf{C}, γ) has also two further properties one would usually require from a class field theory: (vi) There exists a canonical class $c \in \mathbf{nat}^2(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p), \mathbf{C})$, (vii) $H^1(\tilde{G}/V, \mathbf{C}_V) = H^1(U/V, \mathbf{C}_V) = 0$ for all $U, V \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$, $V \leq U$ (cf. Rem.3.3). However, this will not be of importance for our purpose.

The kernel of γ will be called *the universal norms* (of \mathbf{C}). Its analysis will finally enable us to characterize finite groups G possessing a p -Frattini cover $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ in which \tilde{G} is a weakly-orientable profinite p -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2 (cf. Cor.4.6). Here we call a profinite p -Poincaré duality group \tilde{G} of dimension d *weakly-orientable*, if $H^d(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$ is the trivial module.

Theorem B. *Let G be a finite group, and let p be a prime number. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exist a p -Frattini extension $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$, where \tilde{G} is a profinite weakly-orientable p -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2.*
- (ii) *There exists an injective map*

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

which is not an isomorphism.

Remark 1.1. Theorem B raises the following two questions: (1) For which finite groups G and prime numbers p does there exist an injective but not surjective map $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$? (2) Provided such a mapping exists, how many isomorphism types of p -Frattini covers $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ exist, where \tilde{G} is a weakly-orientable p -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2?

Unfortunately, we cannot say anything about the second question. Explicit computations using the work of K.Erdmann [5] show that for $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, such a mapping α exists for $G: = PSl_2(q)$ and $p = 2$ (cf. [16], [17]). However, it seems

a very difficult problem to characterize or classify the tuples (G, p) for which such a mapping exists.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ denote the set of isomorphism types of irreducible (left) $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules, and let $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ be a subset of $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$. For short we call a p -Frattini extension $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ a Δ -Frattini extension, if the isomorphism type of every G -composition factor of $\ker(\pi)$ is contained in Δ . From the existence of the universal p -Frattini extension one deduces easily the existence of a universal Δ -Frattini extension $\pi_\Delta: \tilde{G}_\Delta \rightarrow G$ (cf. §5.2). Obviously, $\tilde{G}_{\mathfrak{S}_p(G)}$ coincides with \tilde{G}_p , and \tilde{G}_\emptyset coincides with G itself. For our purpose it will be useful that the universal Δ -Frattini extension can be characterized by vanishing of second degree cohomology in a similar way as it is known for the universal p -Frattini extension (cf. Prop.5.1).

It is well-known that for $p \neq 3$, the extension

$$(1.4) \quad \pi_{A_1}: Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

is indeed a p -Frattini extension (cf. [18]). However, it remained an open problem to characterize the extension π_{A_1} among all p -Frattini extension (cf. [6, Problem 20.40]).

For $p \neq 2, 3$, M.Lazard's theorem implies that $Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an orientable p -Poincaré duality group of dimension 3 (cf. [13]). From this fact we will deduce the following characterization:

Theorem C. *Let p be a prime different from 2, 3 and 5. Let M_k , $k = 0, \dots, p-1$, denote the simple $\mathbb{F}_p[Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ -module of weight k and \mathbb{F}_p -dimension $k+1$. Then for every subset $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{S}_p(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$ satisfying*

- (i) $[M_2] \in \Delta$,
- (ii) $[M_{p-3}] \notin \Delta$,

the universal Δ -Frattini extension π_Δ of $Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ coincides with π_{A_1} , i.e., one has an isomorphism

$$(1.5) \quad \phi: \tilde{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)_\Delta \longrightarrow Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

satisfying $\pi_{A_1} \circ \phi = \pi_\Delta$.

For a given Dynkin diagram D let X_D be the simple simply-connected \mathbb{Z} -Chevalley group scheme associated to D . It has been proved in [18] that apart from finitely many (more or less explicitly known) values of (D, p) ,

$$(1.6) \quad \pi_D: X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow X_D(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

is a p -Frattini extension. Therefore, one wonders whether one can characterize $X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ in a similar fashion as $Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ answering the problem raised in [6, Prob.20.40] in a wider context:

Question 1.2. *Assume that p is large with respect to the Coxeter number of D . Let $\mathfrak{L}_D(\mathbb{F}_p)$ denote the \mathbb{F}_p -Chevalley Lie algebra associated to D considered as (left) $\mathbb{F}_p[X_D(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ -module and put $\Delta_D := \{[\mathfrak{L}_D(\mathbb{F}_p)]\}$. Are π_D and π_{Δ_D} isomorphic p -Frattini covers?*

Remark 1.3. Proposition 5.1 shows that Question 1.2 is equivalent to the question whether

$$(1.7) \quad H^2(X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p), \mathfrak{L}_D(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0.$$

2. COHOMOLOGICAL MACKEY FUNCTORS

2.1. Profinite modules of profinite groups. Let p be a prime number, and let \hat{G} be a profinite group. The *completed \mathbb{Z}_p -group algebra* of \hat{G} is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{Z}_p[[\hat{G}]] := \varprojlim_U \mathbb{Z}_p[\hat{G}/U],$$

where the inverse system is running over all open normal subgroups of \hat{G} . By ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$ we denote the abelian category the objects of which are abelian pro- p groups with continuous left \hat{G} -action. The morphisms from M to N , $M, N \in \text{ob}({}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p)$, are defined to be the continuous morphisms of profinite groups commuting with the action of \hat{G} . The abelian group of morphisms from M to N will be denoted by $\mathbf{Hom}_{\hat{G}}(M, N)$. This category can be identified with the full subcategory of the category of topological left $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\hat{G}]]$ -modules, the objects of which are also abelian pro- p groups. It is well-known that ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$ has enough projectives, and in particular minimal projective covers. If \hat{G} is the trivial group, then ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$ coincides with the category of abelian pro- p groups, which we will denote by \mathbf{prf}_p .

By ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$ we denote the abelian category the objects of which are profinite \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces with continuous left \hat{G} -action. It is a full subcategory of ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$, and objects can be considered as topological modules for the *completed \mathbb{F}_p -group algebra*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{F}_p[[\hat{G}]] := \varprojlim_U \mathbb{F}_p[\hat{G}/U].$$

For further details the reader may wish to consult [2], [11] or [13].

2.2. Cohomological Mackey functors. There are several equivalent ways to define a cohomological Mackey functor. Here we will follow more or less the approach chosen by P.Webb (cf. [15, §2]).

Let \hat{G} be a profinite group and let \mathcal{N} be a set of open normal subgroups of \hat{G} . For short we call \mathcal{N} a *normal Mackey system*, if \mathcal{N} is closed with respect to products and intersections, and if $\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{N}} U = 1$.

Let \mathcal{N} be a normal Mackey system of the profinite group \hat{G} . A *cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor \mathbf{X}* with coefficients in \mathbf{prf}_p is a collection $(\mathbf{X}_U)_{U \in \mathcal{N}}$ of \hat{G} -modules $\mathbf{X}_U \in \text{ob}({}_{\hat{G}/U}\mathbf{prf}_p)$, together with two series of mappings $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}$ and $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}$ for $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$, where

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} &\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\hat{G}/V}(\mathbf{X}_U, \mathbf{X}_V), \\ N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} &\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\hat{G}/V}(\mathbf{X}_V, \mathbf{X}_U), \end{aligned}$$

and which satisfy the following relations:

$$(2.4) \quad i_{U,U}^{\mathbf{X}} = N_{U,U}^{\mathbf{X}} = \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}_U} \quad \text{for all } U \in \mathcal{N},$$

$$(2.5) \quad i_{U,W}^{\mathbf{X}} = i_{V,W}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \quad \text{for all } U, V, W \in \mathcal{N}, U \leq V \leq W,$$

$$(2.6) \quad N_{W,U}^{\mathbf{X}} = N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ N_{W,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \quad \text{for all } U, V, W \in \mathcal{N}, U \leq V \leq W,$$

$$(2.7) \quad i_{UV,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ N_{U,UV}^{\mathbf{X}} = N_{U \cap V, V}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ i_{U, U \cap V}^{\mathbf{X}} \quad \text{for all } U, V \in \mathcal{N},$$

$$(2.8) \quad i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{x \in U/V} x \quad \text{for all } U, V \in \mathcal{N}, U \leq V,$$

$$(2.9) \quad N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} = |U : V| \cdot \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}_U} \quad \text{for all } U, V \in \mathcal{N}, U \leq V,$$

The notation we have chosen is closer related to number theory than the one introduced in [15]. One can easily verify that the role of I_V^U in [15] is played by $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}$, and $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}$ plays the role of R_V^U . Our axioms (2.3) and (2.4)-(2.6) are obviously equivalent to the axioms (0)-(5) in [15, §2]. The axioms (2.7) and (2.8) are reformulating axiom (6) in [15], as we assumed that all open subgroups of \hat{G} under consideration are normal in \hat{G} . Axiom (2.9) characterizes cohomological Mackey functors among all Mackey functors (cf. [15, §7]).

By $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)$ we denote the category of cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors of \hat{G} with coefficients in \mathbf{prf}_p . A morphism between cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors $\eta: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a sequence of mappings $(\eta_U)_{U \in \mathcal{N}}$, $\eta_U \in \mathbf{Hom}_{\hat{G}/U}(\mathbf{X}_U, \mathbf{Y}_U)$, for which the diagrams

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X}_U & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \mathbf{Y}_U \\ i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{Y}} \\ \mathbf{X}_V & \xrightarrow{\eta_V} & \mathbf{Y}_V \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X}_U & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \mathbf{Y}_U \\ N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} \uparrow & & \uparrow N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{Y}} \\ \mathbf{X}_V & \xrightarrow{\eta_V} & \mathbf{Y}_V \end{array}$$

commute for all $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$. By $\mathbf{nat}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ we denote the abelian group of morphisms of cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors from \mathbf{X} to \mathbf{Y} .

Using the interpretation of $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)$ as the category of additive \mathbb{Z}_p -linear functors from the category of \hat{G} -permutation modules of discrete \hat{G} -sets with isotropy group being contained in \mathcal{N} to the category \mathbf{prf}_p of abelian pro- p groups (cf. [15, Prop.7.2]), one sees easily that $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)$ is an abelian category. Kernels and cokernels are defined in the obvious way.

2.3. From cohomological Mackey functors to \hat{G} -modules and vice versa. Taking the inverse limit over the norm maps $N_{V,U}$ defines a covariant left exact functor

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} m: \mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p) &\longrightarrow \hat{G}\mathbf{prf}_p, \\ m(\mathbf{X}): &= \varprojlim_{U \in \mathcal{N}} \mathbf{X}_U, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{X} \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)). \end{aligned}$$

In case \mathcal{N} contains a countable basis of neighbourhoods of $1 \in \hat{G}$, \varprojlim^1 vanishes, since all modules \mathbf{X}_U are compact. Hence in this case m is exact.

Let $M \in \text{ob}(\hat{G}\mathbf{prf}_p)$ be an abelian pro- p group with continuous left \hat{G} -action. For an open normal subgroup $U \in \mathcal{N}$ we denote by

$$(2.12) \quad M_U := \mathbb{Z}_p[\hat{G}/U] \hat{\otimes}_{\hat{G}} M = M / \text{cl}(\langle (1-u).M \mid u \in U \rangle)$$

the U -coinvariants of M . Here $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the pro- p tensor product as defined by A.Brumer (cf. [2, §2]), and cl denotes the closure operation. The assignment $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ which assigns $U \in \mathcal{N}$ the U -coinvariants $\mathfrak{X}(M)_U := M_U$ together with the natural map $N_{V,U}^{\mathfrak{X}(M)}: M_V \rightarrow M_U$, $V \leq U$, and the mapping $i_{U,V}^{\mathfrak{X}(M)}: M_U \rightarrow M_V$, $V \leq U$,

$$(2.13) \quad i_{U,V}^{\mathfrak{X}(M)}(m + \text{cl}(\langle (1-u).M \mid u \in U \rangle)) := \sum_{x \in V/U} x.m + \text{cl}(\langle (1-v).M \mid v \in V \rangle),$$

defines a cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor $\mathfrak{X}(M) \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$. It induces a covariant additive right exact functor

$$(2.14) \quad \mathfrak{X}(-): \hat{G}\mathbf{prf}_p \longrightarrow \mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p),$$

which will be in general not exact. As we will see in the next subsection, the cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors obtained this way have a particular property which characterizes them.

2.4. Cohomology and homology of cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors. Let \mathbf{X} be a cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor for \hat{G} with coefficients in \mathbf{prf}_p . For short we call \mathbf{X} *i-injective*, if all maps $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}$, $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$, are injective. Similarly, \mathbf{X} is called *N-surjective*, if $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}$ is surjective for all $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$.

Assume that $\mathbf{X} \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$ is *i-injective*. Then we call \mathbf{X} of *type H^0* , if

$$(2.15) \quad \text{im}(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}) = \mathbf{X}_V^{U/V}$$

for all $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$. Here $\mathbf{X}_V^{U/V}$ denotes the abelian group of U/V -fixed points on \mathbf{X}_V . Cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors of type H^0 are sometimes also called to have *Galois descent*. The *N-surjective* cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor is called of *type H_0* , if

$$(2.16) \quad \ker(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) = \sum_{x \in U/V} (x-1) \cdot \mathbf{X}_V$$

for all $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$. From this definition it is straight forward, that a cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor is of type H_0 , if and only if it is isomorphic to a functor $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ for some $M \in \text{ob}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p)$. The cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors being of type H_0 are sometimes also called to have *Galois codescent*.

It is possible to interpret the definitions of being of type H_0 or of type H^0 in a more general homological context. For a cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor \mathbf{X} we define for $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$,

$$(2.17)$$

$$(2.18) \quad \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) := \ker(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}), \quad \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) := \mathbf{X}_V^{U/V} / \text{im}(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}),$$

$$\mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) := \text{coker}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}), \quad \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) := \ker(N_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}) / \sum_{x \in U/V} (x-1) \mathbf{X}_V.$$

Let $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors. Then the snake lemma implies that one has exact sequences

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

One can therefore think of $\mathbf{k}^{0/1}(U/V, _)$ as the 0- and 1-dimensional *section cohomology* of cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors, and of $\mathbf{c}_{0/1}(U/V, _)$ as the 0- and 1-dimensional *section homology* of cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors. It is possible to extend these functors to cohomological and homological functors, respectively. Since we will not make use of the higher derived functors we omit a detailed discussion here. However, we would like to remark, that these functors are not unrelated.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)$ be a cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor and let $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$. Then one has an exact sequence of \hat{G}/U -modules*

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \hat{H}^{-1}(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \dots \\ \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_4} \hat{H}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V) \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{H}^\bullet(U/V, -)$ denotes Tate cohomology.

Proof. The mapping $\alpha_1: \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{-1}(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V)$ is clearly injective. Since α_2 is induced by the norm map $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}$, one has

$$(2.22) \quad \ker(\alpha_2) = \ker(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) / \sum_{x \in U/V} (x-1)\mathbf{X}_V = \text{im}(\alpha_1).$$

Furthermore, by axiom (2.9)

$$(2.23) \quad \ker(\alpha_3) = \ker(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}) \cap \text{im}(N_{V,U}) = N_{V,U}(\ker(\sum_{x \in U/V} x)) = \text{im}(\alpha_2).$$

The mapping α_4 is induced by $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}$. Hence

$$(2.24) \quad \ker(\alpha_4) = (\ker(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}) + \text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}})) / \text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) = \text{im}(\alpha_3).$$

The mapping α_5 is the canonical map and thus surjective. Furthermore,

$$(2.25) \quad \ker(\alpha_5) = \text{im}(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}) / (\sum_{x \in U/V} x) \cdot \mathbf{X}_V = \text{im}(\alpha_4).$$

This yields the claim. \square

Remark 2.2. Let \hat{G} be a finite cyclic group and let $\mathcal{N} := \{1, \hat{G}\}$. Using an alternative approach for the definition of $\mathbf{c}_\bullet(\hat{G}, -)$ and $\mathbf{k}^\bullet(\hat{G}, -)$ one sees that there exist connecting homomorphisms making the sequence

$$(2.26) \quad (\mathbf{k}^0(\hat{G}, -), \mathbf{k}^1(\hat{G}, -), \mathbf{c}_1(\hat{G}, -), \mathbf{c}_0(\hat{G}, -))$$

a (co)homological functor. Let $M \in \text{ob}(\hat{G}\text{-}\mathbf{prf}_p)$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p[\hat{G}]$ -module. Then (2.21) says that the Herbrand quotient (cf. [10, Kap.IV, §7])

$$(2.27) \quad h(\hat{G}, M) := \frac{|\hat{H}^0(\hat{G}, M)|}{|\hat{H}^{-1}(\hat{G}, M)|}$$

can be interpreted as a kind of multiplicative Euler characteristic, i.e., one has

$$(2.28) \quad h(\hat{G}, M) = \frac{|\mathbf{c}_0(\hat{G}, \mathfrak{X}(M))| \cdot |\mathbf{k}^1(\hat{G}, \mathfrak{X}(M))|}{|\mathbf{c}_1(\hat{G}, \mathfrak{X}(M))| \cdot |\mathbf{k}^0(\hat{G}, \mathfrak{X}(M))|} =: \chi(\mathfrak{X}(M)).$$

For short we say that a cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor \mathbf{X} is *cohomologically trivial*, if \mathbf{X} is of type H^0 and H_0 . From Proposition 2.1 follows that such a functor satisfies

$$(2.29) \quad \hat{H}^{-1}(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V) = \hat{H}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V) = 0$$

for all $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $P \in \text{ob}(\hat{G}\text{-}\mathbf{prf}_p)$ be projective. Then for $V \in \mathcal{N}$, $\mathfrak{X}(P)_V$ (cf. 2.3) is a projective $\mathbb{Z}_p[\hat{G}/V]$ -module. In particular, $\mathfrak{X}(P)$ is a cohomologically trivial cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor.*

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that deflation from $\hat{G}\mathbf{prf}_p$ to $\hat{G}/V\mathbf{prf}_p$ is mapping projectives to projectives. Since restriction to closed subgroups is mapping projectives to projectives, it suffices to prove the second claim for $U = \hat{G}$. Since $\mathfrak{X}(P)$ is of type H_0 , $\mathbf{c}_{0/1}(\hat{G}/V, \mathfrak{X}(P)) = 0$. As $P_V \in \text{ob}(\hat{G}/V\mathbf{prf}_p)$ is projective, $\hat{H}^{-1}(\hat{G}/V, P_V) = \hat{H}^0(\hat{G}/V, P_V) = 0$. Hence Proposition 2.1 yields the claim. \square

3. CLASS FIELD THEORIES

Throughout this section let \hat{G} be a profinite group, and let p be a prime number. We also assume that \mathcal{N} is a normal Mackey system for \hat{G} .

For a finite group G we denote by $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ the set of isomorphism types of irreducible (left) $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. For an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module S we use the symbol $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ to denote its isomorphism type.

3.1. The cohomological Mackey functors \mathbf{Ab}^p and $\mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$. For $U \in \mathcal{N}$, let

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{Ab}_U^p := U_p^{ab} = U/cl([U, U])/O_{p'}(U/cl([U, U]))$$

denote the largest continuous homomorphic image of U which is an abelian pro- p group. Here $[_, _]$ stands for the commutator subgroup, and cl denotes the closure operation. Then for $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$, one has a canonical map $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{Ab}^p} : V_p^{ab} \rightarrow U_p^{ab}$. This map together with the *transfer map* (cf. [10, p.312])

$$(3.2) \quad i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{Ab}^p} := \text{tr}_V^U : U_p^{ab} \rightarrow V_p^{ab}$$

makes $\mathbf{Ab}^p \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$ a cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor. By $\mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$ we denote its reduction modulo p , i.e., for $U \in \mathcal{N}$ one has

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{Ab}_U^{/p} := U_p^{ab}/p = \mathbf{Ab}_U^p/p \cdot \mathbf{Ab}_U^p,$$

and the maps $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{Ab}^{/p}}$ and $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{Ab}^{/p}}$, $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$, are the maps induced from $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{Ab}^p}$ and $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{Ab}^p}$, respectively. It is obviously a cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor.

3.2. Weak p -class field theories. We define a *weak p -class field theory* (\mathbf{X}, η) (for (\hat{G}, \mathcal{N})) to be a cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor $\mathbf{X} \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$, together with a surjective morphism $\eta : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p$ of cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors with the following properties:

- (i) \mathbf{X} is of type H^0 ,
- (ii) $\mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \eta) : \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow (U/V)_p^{ab}$ is an isomorphism for all $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$.

The property (i) implies that $\mathbf{k}^{0/1}(U/V, \mathbf{X}) = 0$ for all $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$. In particular, one has an isomorphism $\mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) = \hat{H}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V)$. The property (ii) is one of the properties one would expect from a p -class field theory. However, in order to state the other property, one has also to require some structure on the normal Mackey system \mathcal{N} .

3.3. p -Class field theories. For short we call a normal Mackey system p -closed, if it satisfies the following property: Assume that W is an open normal subgroup of \hat{G} which is contained in an open normal subgroup in $U \in \mathcal{N}$, such that U/W is a finite abelian p -group. Then W is also contained in \mathcal{N} .

Let \mathcal{N} be a p -closed normal Mackey system of \hat{G} . Then we call the weak p -class field theory (\mathbf{X}, η) a p -class field theory, if it satisfies additionally the following property:

- (iii) Let $U \in \mathcal{N}$ and let $V, W \leq U$ be open and normal in \hat{G} , such that U/V and U/W are finite abelian p -groups. Assume that $\text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) = \text{im}(N_{W,U}^{\mathbf{X}})$. Then $V = W$.

In a similar fashion one defines a $/p$ -class field theory: Let \mathcal{N} be a p -closed normal Mackey system of \hat{G} . A cohomological \mathcal{N} -Mackey functor \mathbf{X} together with a surjective morphism of \mathcal{N} -Mackey functors $\eta: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$ is called a $/p$ -class field theory, if the following properties hold:

- (i) \mathbf{X} is of type H^0 ,
- (ii) $\mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \eta): \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow (U/V)_{/p}^{ab}$ is an isomorphism for all $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$, $V \leq U$,
- (iii) Let $U \in \mathcal{N}$ and let $V, W \leq U$ be open and normal in \hat{G} , such that U/V and U/W are finite elementary abelian p -groups. Assume that $\text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) = \text{im}(N_{W,U}^{\mathbf{X}})$. Then $V = W$.

3.4. The p -Frobenius class field theory and the $/p$ -Frobenius class field theory.

Let G be a finite group, and let $\pi_p: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow G$ denote its universal p -Frobenius cover. We are considering the normal Mackey system

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{F}: = \{U \leq \ker(\pi_p) \mid U \text{ open and normal in } \tilde{G}_p\}.$$

As $\ker(\pi_p)$ is a pro- p group, it is obviously p -closed.

Let

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\tilde{G}_p]]$ -module \mathbb{Z}_p in $\tilde{G}_p \mathbf{prf}_p$. In particular, $\varepsilon: P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\delta': P_1 \rightarrow \ker(\varepsilon)$ are minimal projective covers in $\tilde{G}_p \mathbf{prf}_p$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ denote the set of isomorphism types of irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules, and let $\tau_S: P_S \rightarrow S$ denote a minimal projective cover in $\tilde{G}_p \mathbf{prf}_p$, $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$. As (3.5) is minimal, one has isomorphisms

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{Hom}_{\tilde{G}_p}(P_1, S) \simeq H^1(\tilde{G}_p, S)$$

for all $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$. In particular, $P_1 \simeq \coprod_{[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)} P_S^{\mu_S}$, where

$$(3.7) \quad \mu_S := \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1(\tilde{G}_p, S))}{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{End}_G(S))}.$$

Let $U \in \mathcal{F}$. As ${}_{-}U$ is right exact, one has an exact sequence

$$(3.8) \quad (P_1)_U \xrightarrow{\delta_U} (P_0)_U \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_U} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

As $\tilde{G}_p \rightarrow \tilde{G}_p/U$ is a p -Frobenius extension, inflation induces isomorphisms

$$(3.9) \quad H^1(\tilde{G}_p, S) \simeq H^1(\tilde{G}_p/U, S)$$

for all $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ (cf. [16, Prop.3.1]). This yields that

$$(3.10) \quad H^1(\tilde{G}_p/U, S) \simeq \mathbf{Hom}_{\tilde{G}_p/U}((P_1)_U, S)$$

for all $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$, and from this one concludes easily that (3.8) is a partial minimal projective resolution. In particular, $\ker(\delta_U) = \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Let $\Omega_2 := \ker(\mathfrak{X}(\delta))$. Then one has an exact sequence of cohomological \mathcal{F} -Mackey functors

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(P_1) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{X}(\delta)} \mathfrak{X}(P_0) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{X}(\varepsilon)} \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and $\Omega_{2,U} = \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

From the Eckmann-Shapiro lemma for \mathbf{Tor}_\bullet (cf. [13, Lemma 3.3.4]), and the canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{H}_1(U, \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq U_p^{ab} = \mathbf{Ab}_U^p$, where \mathbf{H}_\bullet denotes homology as defined by A.Brumer (cf. [2, §2]), one obtains an isomorphism

$$(3.12) \quad \eta: \Omega_2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p$$

of cohomological \mathcal{F} -Mackey functors.

By $\Omega_2^{/p}$ we denote the reduction mod p of Ω_2 , i.e., one has a short exact sequence in $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{G}_p, \mathbf{prf}_p)$

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_2 \xrightarrow{p \cdot \text{id}} \Omega_2 \longrightarrow \Omega_2^{/p} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By $\eta^{/p}: \Omega_2^{/p} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$ we denote the induced isomorphism.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a finite group, $\pi_p: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow G$ its universal p -Frattini cover, and let \mathcal{F} be given as in (3.4).*

- (a) *The tuple (Ω_2, η) is a p -class field theory for $(\tilde{G}_p, \mathcal{F})$.*
- (b) *The tuple $(\Omega_2^{/p}, \eta^{/p})$ is a $/p$ -class field theory for $(\tilde{G}_p, \mathcal{F})$.*

We call (Ω_2, η) the p -Frattini class field theory for $(\tilde{G}_p, \mathcal{F})$, and $(\Omega_2^{/p}, \eta^{/p})$ the $/p$ -Frattini class field theory for $(\tilde{G}_p, \mathcal{F})$.

Proof. (a) One has to verify the axioms (i)-(iii). Axiom (ii) is obviously satisfied. Consider the short exact sequence

$$(3.14) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_2 \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathfrak{X}(P_1) \longrightarrow \text{coker}(\iota) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{coker}(\iota)$ is a cohomological \mathcal{F} -subMackey functor of $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)$, $\mathbf{k}^0(\text{coker}(\iota)) = 0$ (cf. (2.19), Prop.2.3). The long exact sequence (2.19) applied to (3.14) and the cohomological triviality of $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)$ and $\mathfrak{X}(P_1)$ yields that Ω_2 is of type H^0 . Hence axiom (i) is satisfied. It remains to verify (iii). We may assume that p divides the order of the finite group G , since otherwise $\Omega_2 = 0$, and there is nothing to prove. In this case \tilde{G}_p is of cohomological p -dimension 1, and thus of strict cohomological p -dimension 2 (cf. [12, §I.3.2]). In particular, by Brumer's theorem (cf. [2], [10, Kap.IV, §6, Aufg.6]) \tilde{G}_p possesses a *tautological class field theory*. Let (\mathfrak{H}, ρ) denote its restriction to the Mackey system \mathcal{F} , i.e., $\mathfrak{H}_U = \mathbf{Ab}_U^p$ and ρ_U is the identity on \mathbf{Ab}_U^p . In particular (\mathfrak{H}, ρ) and (Ω_2, η) essentially coincide, i.e., one has a commutative diagram in $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{G}_p, \mathbf{prf}_p)$

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_2 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathfrak{H} \\ \eta \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mathbf{Ab}^p & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{Ab}^p \end{array}$$

The property (iii) is well-known for (\mathfrak{H}, ρ) (cf. [10, Kap.IV, Thm.6.7]). Thus it also holds for $(\mathbf{\Omega}_2, \eta)$.

(b) It suffices to prove that $\mathbf{\Omega}_2^{/p}$ is of type H^0 . The axiom (ii) is obvious, and axiom (iii) follows from axiom (iii) for $(\mathbf{\Omega}_2, \eta)$.

Let $\mathfrak{X}(P_{0/1})^{/p}$ denote the reduction mod p of $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)$ and $\mathfrak{X}(P_1)$, respectively. Then one has a short exact sequence

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Omega}_2^{/p} \xrightarrow{\iota^{/p}} \mathfrak{X}(P_1)^{/p} \longrightarrow \text{coker}(\iota^{/p}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and $\text{coker}(\iota^{/p})$ is a cohomological \mathcal{F} -sub Mackey functor of $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)^{/p}$. From Proposition 2.1 one concludes that $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)^{/p}$ and $\mathfrak{X}(P_1)^{/p}$ are cohomologically trivial. Hence the long exact sequence (2.19) yields the claim. \square

Let $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be any p -Frattini extension, finite or infinite. By universality, there exists a mapping $\tau: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow \tilde{G}$, such that $\pi_p = \pi \circ \tau$. Since π is a p -Frattini extension, τ is surjective. For short we put $N := \ker(\tau)$.

The morphism τ induces a canonical bijection of sets $\tau_*: \mathcal{F}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$, where \mathcal{F} is given as in (3.4) and

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_N &:= \{U \in \mathcal{F} \mid N \leq U\}, \\ \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}) &:= \{U' \leq \ker(\pi) \mid U' \text{ open and normal in } \tilde{G}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{C} \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})}(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$ denote the cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor given by

$$(3.18) \quad \mathbf{C}_U := \mathbf{\Omega}_{2, \tau_*^{-1}(U)}, \quad U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$$

equipped with the obvious maps $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{C}}, N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{C}}, U, V \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}), V \leq U$. Let $\gamma: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p$ denote the morphism of $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors induced by η . In particular, γ is surjective, but if \tilde{G} does not coincide with the universal p -Frattini cover, γ will not be an isomorphism.

Similarly, we define the reduction mod p $\mathbf{C}^{/p}$ of \mathbf{C} , i.e., one has

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbf{C}_U^{/p} := \mathbf{\Omega}_{2, \tau_*^{-1}(U)}^{/p}, \quad U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}),$$

and by $\gamma^{/p}: \mathbf{C}^{/p} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$ we denote the surjective morphism induced by $\eta^{/p}$. Again, apart from the case $\tilde{G} \simeq \tilde{G}_p$, $\gamma^{/p}$ will not be surjective. From Theorem 3.1 one concludes:

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a finite group, and let $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be any p -Frattini extension. Then*

- (a) *The tuple (\mathbf{C}, γ) is a p -class field theory for $(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}))$.*
- (b) *The tuple $(\mathbf{C}^{/p}, \gamma^{/p})$ is a $/p$ -class field theory for $(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}))$.*

Remark 3.3. The definition of a p or a $/p$ -class field theory we have given here is very much adapted to our main purpose, which is to prove Theorem B. Nevertheless, $(\mathbf{\Omega}_2, \eta)$ satisfies all class field theory axioms, which are usually required in number theory, i.e., using Tate cohomology one sees easily that for all $U, V \in \mathcal{F}, V \leq U$,

$$(3.20) \quad H^1(U/V, \mathbf{\Omega}_{2,V}) = H^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbf{\Omega}_{2,V}) = 0.$$

Moreover, (3.11) defines a canonical class $c \in \mathbf{nat}^2(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p), \mathbf{\Omega}_2)$, where $\mathbf{nat}^\bullet(-, -)$ denote the derived functors of $\mathbf{nat}(-, -)$ (cf. [9, Chap.XII]). This also applies to the p -class field theory (\mathbf{C}, γ) defined for any p -Frattini cover $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$. However,

as the reader might verify by himself, (3.20) does not hold for the $/p$ -class field theories $(\mathbf{\Omega}_2^{/p}, \eta^{/p})$ or $(\mathbf{C}^{/p}, \gamma^{/p})$. Nevertheless, as we will see in the next section, these are the class field theories which are easiest to deal with.

4. p -POINCARÉ DUALITY GROUPS OF DIMENSION 2 AS p -FRATTINI EXTENSIONS

Throughout this section we assume that G is a finite group, and that $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ is a p -Frattini extension. By

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta} & P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}_p, \\ Q_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta^{/p}} & P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{/p}} \mathbb{F}_p \end{array}$$

we denote partial minimal projective resolutions in $\tilde{G}\mathbf{prf}_p$ and $\tilde{G}\mathbf{prf}_{/p}$, respectively.

4.1. Universal norms. Let $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be a p -Frattini extension, and let (\mathbf{C}, γ) denote its p -Frattini class field theory. We call the cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor $\mathfrak{N} := \ker(\gamma)$ the *universal norms* of (\mathbf{C}, γ) . Similarly, $\mathfrak{N}^{/p} := \ker(\gamma^{/p})$ will be called the *universal norms* of $(\mathbf{C}^{/p}, \gamma^{/p})$. One has:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be a p -Frattini extension. Then:*

- (a) \mathfrak{N} is N -surjective. Let $P_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a partial minimal projective resolution of \mathbb{Z}_p in $\tilde{G}\mathbf{prf}_p$. Then $\ker(\delta) \simeq m(\mathfrak{N})$.
- (b) $\mathfrak{N}^{/p}$ is N -surjective. Let $Q_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ be a partial minimal projective resolution of \mathbb{F}_p in $\tilde{G}\mathbf{prf}_{/p}$. Then $\ker(\delta) \simeq m(\mathfrak{N}^{/p})$.

Proof. (a) For simplicity let us assume that $\iota: \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is given by inclusion. Let $\{U_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ be a linearly ordered basis of neighbourhoods of $1 \in \tilde{G}$. We have to show that for $x \in \bigcap_{m \geq n} \text{im}(N_{U_m, U_n}^{\mathbf{C}})$, there exists a sequence $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $y_k \in \mathbf{C}_{U_{n+k}}$, such that $y_0 = x$ and $y_k = N_{U_{n+k+1}, U_{n+k}}(y_{k+1})$.

Let $Z := \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{C}_{U_{n+k}}$. Then Z is compact by Tychonoff's theorem. Let

$$(4.2) \quad Z_{x,r} := \{ (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in Z \mid z_0 = x, N_{U_{k+1}, U_k}(z_{k+1}) = z_k \text{ for all } k \leq r \}.$$

Then $Z_{x,r+1} \subseteq Z_{x,r}$ and all sets $Z_{x,r}$ are closed. By definition, any finite intersection of sets $Z_{x,r}$ is non-empty. Hence $Z_{x,\infty} := \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{N}} Z_{x,r}$ is non-empty. Any element $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in Z_{x,\infty}$ will have the desired property.

By construction, $\ker(\mathfrak{X}(\delta)) = \mathbf{C}$. Moreover, one has a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p \rightarrow 0$. Obviously, $m(\mathbf{Ab}^p) = 0$. Thus the claim follows from the exactness of m . The assertion (b) follows by a similar argument. \square

4.2. Weakly oriented p -Poincaré duality groups. Let \hat{G} be a profinite group of cohomological p -dimension d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then \hat{G} is called a *p -Poincaré duality group of dimension d* , if

- (i) for every finite discrete left \hat{G} -module of p -power order X and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one has

$$(4.3) \quad |H^k(\hat{G}, X)| < \infty,$$

- (ii) the p -dualizing module $\mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}$ of \hat{G} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ as abelian group,

(iii) for every finite discrete left \hat{G} -module of p -power order X , cup-product induces a non-degenerate pairing

$$(4.4) \quad H^k(\hat{G}, X') \times H^{d-k}(\hat{G}, X) \xrightarrow{H^d(ev_X) \circ (\cup)} H^d(\hat{G}, \mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p,$$

where $X' := \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p})$, $ev_X: X' \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}$ is the evaluation map and i is given as in [12, §I.3.5].

The p -Poincaré duality group \hat{G} of dimension d is called *orientable*, if $\mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}$ is a trivial \hat{G} -module, and *weakly-orientable*, if the socle of $\mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}$ is a trivial \hat{G} -module, i.e., $\text{soc}(\mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$.

One can characterize these groups by continuous cochain cohomology as introduced by J.Tate (cf. [14]) with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p[[\hat{G}]]$ as follows:

Proposition 4.2. *Let \hat{G} be a profinite group of cohomological p -dimension d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume (4.3) holds for every finite discrete left \hat{G} -module of p -power order X . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) \hat{G} is a weakly-orientable p -Poincaré duality group of dimension d ,
- (ii)

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{H}^k(\hat{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\hat{G}]]) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_p & \text{for } k = d, \\ 0 & \text{for } k \neq d, \end{cases}$$

where \mathbb{F}_p denotes the trivial \hat{G} -module and \mathbf{H}^\bullet denotes continuous cochain cohomology.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is implicitly already contained in a letter from J.Tate to J-P.Serre (cf. [12, App.1]) Here one should only note that the second property of a Poincaré duality group ensures that $\mathbf{H}^k(\hat{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\hat{G}]])^* = E_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Note that property (4.5) already implies that (4.4) holds for all finite \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces which are discrete \hat{G} -modules. Then the same argument used in the proof of [12, Prop.I.32]) shows that (4.4) holds for all finite discrete \hat{G} -modules of p power order. \square

4.3. Cohomological Mackey functors for p -Frattini extensions. Let \mathbf{X} be a cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor, such that \mathbf{X}_U are finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{G}/U]$ -modules for all $U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$. Then applying $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{G}}(-, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and changing the role of i and N defines a new cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor which we denote by \mathbf{X}^* . The functor $*$ is obviously contravariant and exact.

For short put $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p) := \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p) := \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p)^*$. Then $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor with all mapping $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ bijective, and $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor with all mapping $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ bijective, $U, V \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$, $V \leq U$.

Thus one has an exact sequence of cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{X}(\varepsilon/p)^*} \mathfrak{X}(Q_0)^* \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{X}(\delta/p)^*} \mathfrak{X}(Q_1)^*.$$

We put

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) &:= \ker(\mathfrak{X}(\delta/p)^*), \\ \Omega^2(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) &:= \text{coker}(\mathfrak{X}(\delta/p)^*). \end{aligned}$$

It is an easy exercise to show that $\Omega^1(\tilde{G}/_, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is i -injective and N -surjective, and that $\Omega^2(\tilde{G}/_, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is of type H_0 .

4.4. Extending injective maps $\Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$. The first step in proving Theorem B is establishing the following proposition:

Proposition 4.3. *Let G be a finite group, and let $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ be a mapping of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. Then there exists a closed normal subgroup N , $N \leq \ker(\pi_p)$ of the universal p -Frattini extension \tilde{G}_p , $\tilde{G}: = \tilde{G}_p/N$, and a map of cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors*

$$(4.8) \quad \alpha: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^p,$$

satisfying $\text{im}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{N}^p$ and $\alpha_{\ker(\pi_p)} = \iota_{\ker(\pi_p)}: \alpha$, where $\iota: \mathfrak{N}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^p$ denotes the canonical map.

Moreover, if α is injective, α is injective.

Proof. Put $V_0 := \ker(\pi_p)$ and $\alpha_0 := \alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Assume we have constructed open normal subgroups V_0, \dots, V_{k-1} and injective morphisms

$$(4.9) \quad \alpha_{V_i}: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_i) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

$i = 0, \dots, k-1$, such that the diagrams

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{i-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_{i-1}}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_{i-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ i_{V_{i-1}, V_i}^{\Omega^1} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{V_{i-1}, V_i}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_i}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{i-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_{i-1}}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_{i-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ N_{V_i, V_{i-1}}^{\Omega^1} \uparrow & & \uparrow N_{V_i, V_{i-1}}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_i}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commute, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. In the first step we construct V_k and a mapping

$$(4.12) \quad \alpha_{V_k}: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

such the diagrams (4.10) and (4.11) commute for $(k-1, k)$.

Let $V_k \leq \ker(\pi_p)$ be the unique open normal subgroup such that V_{k-1}/V_k is elementary p -abelian, and $\text{im}(\alpha_{V_{k-1}}) = \text{im}(N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega_2})$. The uniqueness is guaranteed by axiom (iii) of a $/p$ -class field theory. Since $(Q_0)_{V_k}^*$ is a projective $\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{G}_p/V_k]$ -module, there exists a mapping $\alpha': (Q_0)_{V_k}^* \rightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$ making the diagram

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_{k-1}}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ N \uparrow & & \uparrow N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega_2} \\ (Q_0)_{V_k}^* & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commute, where $N: (Q_0)_{V_k}^* \rightarrow \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is the canonical map. Since the $\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{G}_p/V_k]$ -module $\Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is directly indecomposable, and as $(Q_0)_{V_k}^*$ is also injective, α' cannot be injective. Hence α' factors through a mapping

$$(4.14) \quad \alpha_{V_k}: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

for which diagram (4.11) commutes for $(k-1, k)$.

Let $x \in \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p)$. As $\Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is N -surjective, there exists $y \in \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega^1}(y) = x$. Thus

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_{V_{k-1}}(x)) &= i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_{V_{k-1}}(N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega^1}(y))), \\ &= i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega_2}(N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_{V_k}(y))) = N_{V_{k-1}/V_k}(\alpha_{V_k}(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where $N_{V_{k-1}/V_k} := \sum_{g \in V_{k-1}/V_k} g$. On the other hand

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{V_k}(i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega^1}(x)) &= \alpha_{V_k}(i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega^1}(N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega^1}(y))) \\ &= \alpha_{V_k}(N_{V_{k-1}/V_k}(y)) = N_{V_{k-1}/V_k}(\alpha_{V_k}(y)), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the diagram (4.10) commutes for $(k-1, k)$ as well.

Since $i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega^1} : \text{soc}(\Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow \text{soc}(\Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p))$ is bijective, and as \mathcal{C}^p is of type H^0 , α_{V_k} is injective provided $\alpha_{V_{k-1}}$ is injective.

Let $N := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} V_k$. Then $\{V_k/N\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a basis of open neighbourhoods of $1 \in \tilde{G}_p/N$.

Let $V \in \mathcal{F}_N := \{U \in \mathcal{F} \mid N \leq U\}$. Then there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $V_k \leq V$. Since $\Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$ are i -injective cohomological \mathcal{F} -Mackey functors, there exists a unique mapping

$$(4.17) \quad \alpha_V : \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

making the diagram

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ i_{V, V_k}^{\Omega^1} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{V, V_k}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_k}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commute. It is easy to check that for all $U, V \in \mathcal{F}_N$, $V \leq U$, the diagram

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_U} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ i_{U, V}^{\Omega^1} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{U, V}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commutes. Note that $\Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is i -injective, and that for $x \in \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p)$

$$(4.20) \quad i_{U, V}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_U(N_{V, U}^{\Omega^1}(x))) = \alpha_V(i_{U, V}^{\Omega^1}(N_{V, U}^{\Omega^1}(x))) = \alpha_V(N_{U/V}(x)),$$

$$(4.21) \quad i_{U, V}^{\Omega_2}(N_{V, U}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_V(x))) = N_{V/U}(\alpha_V(x)) = \alpha_V(N_{U/V}(x)).$$

Hence the diagram

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_U} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ N_{V, U}^{\Omega^1} \uparrow & & \uparrow N_{V, U}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commutes as well showing that

$$(4.23) \quad \alpha : \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is a morphism of cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}_p/N)$ -Mackey functors. By construction, one has $\text{im}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{N}^p$. Moreover, if α is injective, then the construction shows that α is also injective. This yields the claim. \square

4.5. Ω^1 -relator p -Frattini extensions. Let $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be a p -Frattini extension of G , and let (\mathbf{C}^p, γ^p) denote its $/p$ -Frattini class field theory. We call π an Ω^1 -relator p -Frattini extension, if there exists a map

$$(4.24) \quad \alpha: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^p$$

of cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors with $\text{im}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{N}^p$. If necessary we include the mapping α in the notation, i.e., we write (π, α) for a Ω^1 -relator p -Frattini extension.

For the universal p -Frattini extension $\pi_p: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow G$ one has $\mathfrak{N}^p = 0$, and thus π_p is a Ω^1 -relator p -Frattini extension.

From Proposition 4.3 one concludes that one can also construct such a p -Frattini extension starting from a map $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Another source of examples arises in the context of modular towers. The starting point in the study of modular towers is a fixed surjective morphism $\phi: \hat{G} \rightarrow G$ where \hat{G} is a certain profinite orientable p -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2 onto a finite group G . A modular tower consists of all open normal subgroups U in \hat{G} contained in $\ker(\phi)$ such that the induced map $\phi_U: \hat{G}/U \rightarrow G$ is a p -Frattini extension (cf. [1]). The ‘limit groups’ of a modular tower correspond to a closed normal subgroup $A \leq \ker(\phi)$ such that $\phi_A: \hat{G}/A \rightarrow G$ is a maximal p -Frattini extension ϕ can factor through. In particular, (ϕ_A, π_A) , $\pi_A: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \hat{G}/A$ the canonical projection, is a *maximal p -Frattini quotient* of ϕ (cf. [16]). These p -Frattini extension have the following property.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\phi: \hat{G} \rightarrow G$ be a surjective map of the profinite weakly-orientable p -Poincaré duality group \hat{G} of dimension 2 onto the finite group G . Then for every maximal p -Frattini quotient (π, β) , $\pi: \text{im}(\beta) \rightarrow G$ is a Ω^1 -relator p -Frattini extension of G .*

Proof. Let $B := \text{im}(\beta)$, and let

$$(4.25) \quad Q_1 \xrightarrow{\delta^p} Q_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$$

be a partial minimal projective resolution in ${}_B\mathbf{prf}/_p$. Put $M := \ker(\delta)$. By [16, Prop.3.4], one has a surjective map $\alpha: Q_0 \rightarrow M$. Since \mathfrak{N}^p is norm surjective (cf. Prop.4.1(b)), one has a surjective map of cohomological $\mathcal{F}(B)$ -Mackey functors

$$(4.26) \quad \rho: \mathfrak{X}(Q_0) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}^p.$$

Since \mathfrak{N}^p is a $\mathcal{F}(B)$ -sub Mackey functor of \mathbf{C} , and as $(Q_0)_U$ is an injective $\mathbb{F}_p[B/U]$ -module, $\rho_U: (Q_0)_U \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_U^p \leq \Omega_2(B/U, \mathbb{F}_p)$ cannot be injective, i.e, $\text{soc}((Q_0)_U) \leq \ker(\rho_U)$. Hence ρ induces a surjective mapping

$$(4.27) \quad \rho_*: \Omega^1(B/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}^p$$

of cohomological $\mathcal{F}(B)$ -Mackey functors and this yields the claim. \square

In order to finish the proof of Theorem B, we establish the following theorem:

Theorem 4.5. *Let (π, α) , $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$, be a Ω^1 -relator p -Frattini extension. Assume further that α is injective, and that $\alpha_{\ker(\pi)}$ is not an isomorphism. Then \tilde{G} is a weakly-orientable p -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2.*

Proof. Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)) > \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p))$ implies that \tilde{G} is infinite (cf. [16, Prop.3.5]). It suffices to prove that $\mathbf{H}^k(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) = 0$ for $k \neq 2$, and $\mathbf{H}^2(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$. As before \mathbf{H}^\bullet denotes continuous cochain cohomology.

By definition, one has exact sequences of cohomological $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors

$$(4.28) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(Q_0) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.29) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.30) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(Q_1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(Q_0) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As \tilde{G} is infinite $m(\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)) = m(\mathbf{Ab}^p) = 0$. Thus applying the functor m yields that one has a minimal projective resolution

$$(4.31) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow Q_1 \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow 0$$

of \mathbb{F}_p in $\mathcal{C}\text{-}\mathbf{prf}/p$. Hence \tilde{G} is of cohomological p -dimension 2.

In his letter to J-P.Serre (cf. [12, App.1]), J.Tate described how one can compute the Pontryagin dual of the cohomology groups $\mathbf{H}^k(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]])$. Translated to our situation we obtain

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^2(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]])^* &= \varinjlim_U \mathbf{H}_2(U, \mathbb{F}_p), \\ \mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]])^* &= \varinjlim_U \mathbf{H}_1(U, \mathbb{F}_p). \end{aligned}$$

Since \tilde{G} is infinite, $\mathbf{H}^0(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) = 0$. From the exact sequences (4.28) it follows that one has an isomorphism of $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors $\mathbf{H}_2(-, \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. This yields $\mathbf{H}^2(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$.

Let $\alpha^*: \Omega^2(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_1(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$ be the Pontryagin dual of α . Then by (4.32), $\mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) \simeq m(\ker(\alpha^*))$. Moreover, α^* is surjective. Since for all $U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$, one has an isomorphism

$$(4.33) \quad hd(\alpha_U^*): hd(\Omega_2(\tilde{G}/U, \mathbb{F}_p)) \longrightarrow hd(\Omega_1(\tilde{G}/U, \mathbb{F}_p)),$$

where $hd(-)$ denotes the head of a module, one obtains a commutative diagram

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}(Q_1)^* & \longrightarrow & \Omega^2(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \rho \downarrow & & \sigma \downarrow & & \alpha^* \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}(Q_1)^* & \longrightarrow & \Omega_1(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By (4.33), σ is an isomorphism. So by the snake lemma, ρ is injective, and one has an isomorphism $\text{coker}(\rho) = \ker(\alpha^*)$. Since $\Omega^1(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is N -surjective, all elements in $\text{im}(\sigma)$ are universal norms. Hence by dimension arguments, $\text{im}(\rho) = \text{im}(\alpha)$ and this yields

$$(4.35) \quad m(\ker(\alpha^*)) \simeq m(\text{coker}(\rho)) \simeq m(\mathbf{Ab}^p) = 0.$$

This yields the claim. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime number. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a p -Frattini extension $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ with \tilde{G} a profinite weakly-orientable p -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2.*
- (ii) *There exists an injection $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ which is not an isomorphism.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [16, Thm.4.1] and Theorem 4.5. □

Remark 4.7. (a) Let $p = 2$ and let $G = PSl_2(q)$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The explicit description of the projective indecomposable $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ -modules obtained by K.Erdmann [5] shows that in this case one has an injection $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

(b) If G is p -perfect, i.e., $G_p^{ab} = 0$, \tilde{G} is p -perfect too. Thus every \tilde{G} -module $M \in ob(\tilde{G}\text{-}\mathbf{prf}_p)$, which underlying abelian pro- p group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p and which reduction mod p $M/p.M$ is a trivial \tilde{G} -module, must be trivial. Hence in this case one can conclude that \tilde{G} is indeed a orientable p -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2.

(c) In [16, Ex.1.4] an example was given were for any maximal p -Frattini quotient (π, β) of a morphism $\phi: \hat{G} \rightarrow PSl_2(7)$, the p -Frattini extension π is of the type described in Theorem 4.5.

(d) One question which has been untouched completely is to describe all isomorphism types of extensions $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ satisfying (i) of Corollary 4.6. The construction we used does not give any evidence how one can achieve this goal.

5. Δ -FRATTINI EXTENSIONS

Throughout this section we fix a prime number p . For a given finite group G we denote by $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ the set of isomorphism types of irreducible (left) $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. For an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module S we use the symbol $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ to denote its isomorphism type.

5.1. The Δ -head of an $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module. Let $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ be a set of isomorphism types of irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. For short we call an $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module $M \in ob({}_G \text{mod}_p)$ of finite \mathbb{F}_p -dimension a Δ -module, if M has a composition series $(M_k)_{0 \leq k \leq m}$, $0 = M_0 < M_1 < \dots < M_m = M$, with each composition factor being contained in Δ , i.e., $[M_k/M_{k-1}] \in \Delta$ for all $k = 1, \dots, m$. We also assume that $0 \in ob({}_G \text{mod}_p)$ is a Δ -module.

Let M be an $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module of finite \mathbb{F}_p -dimension. We call an $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -submodule $N \leq M$ a Δ -kernel, if M/N is a Δ -module. Obviously, the intersection of any set of Δ -kernels $N_i \leq M$, $i \in I$, is again a Δ -kernel. Hence there exists a minimal Δ -kernel $M_\Delta \leq M$. For short we call

$$(5.1) \quad hd_\Delta(M) := M/M_\Delta$$

The Δ -head of M .

5.2. The universal Δ -Frattini extension. Let

$$(5.2) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{G}/p \xrightarrow{\pi/p} G \longrightarrow 1$$

be the universal elementary p -abelian Frattini extension of G , where ι is considered to be given by inclusion. Factoring by the minimal Δ -kernel $\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)_\Delta$ of $\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ yields a Δ -Frattini extension

$$(5.3) \quad 1 \longrightarrow hd(\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)) \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{G}/\Delta \xrightarrow{\pi/\Delta} G \longrightarrow 1$$

which is easily seen to be universal with respect to all elementary p -abelian Δ -Frattini extensions of G . Thus for $G_0 := G$, and $\pi_{i+1,i}: G_{i+1} \rightarrow G_i$ the universal elementary p -abelian Δ -Frattini extension of G_i , we obtain an inverse system whose inverse limit

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{G}_\Delta := \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} G_i$$

together with the canonical map $\pi_\Delta: \tilde{G}_\Delta \rightarrow G$ is a Δ -Frattini extension of G . The universality as well as the uniqueness up to isomorphism follows by the same arguments which were used to prove these statements for the universal p -Frattini extension (cf. [6]).

At this point we have to deal with the question how one characterizes the universal Δ -Frattini extension among all Δ -Frattini extensions. This is the subject of the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be a Δ -Frattini extension of G , $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) π coincides with the universal Δ -Frattini extension of G .
- (ii) $H^2(\tilde{G}, S) = 0$ for all irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules S , $[S] \in \Delta$.

Proof. Assume that $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ is the universal Δ -Frattini extension of G , and that there exists an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module S , $[S] \in \Delta$, with $H^2(\tilde{G}, S) \neq 0$. For $\eta \in H^2(\tilde{G}, S)$, $\eta \neq 0$, the associated extension of profinite groups

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbf{s}(\eta): 1 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{G} \longrightarrow 1$$

is non-split and thus $\tau \circ \pi: X \rightarrow G$ is a Δ -Frattini extension. The universality of π implies that τ has a section $\sigma: \tilde{G} \rightarrow X$ contradicting the fact that $\mathbf{s}(\eta)$ is non-split. Thus (i) implies (ii).

Assume that $H^2(\tilde{G}, S) = 0$ for all $[S] \in \Delta$, and let $\pi_\Delta: \tilde{G}_\Delta \rightarrow G$ be the universal Δ -Frattini extension of G . Then one has a surjective map $\beta: \tilde{G}_\Delta \rightarrow \tilde{G}$, and thus an isomorphism

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{\beta}^{-1}: \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{G}_\Delta / \ker(\beta).$$

Assume that $\ker(\beta) \neq 1$ is non-trivial, and let $U \leq \ker(\beta)$ be a maximal open subgroup of $\ker(\beta)$ which is normal in \tilde{G}_Δ . Since $[\ker(\beta)/U] \in \Delta$, one has $H^2(\tilde{G}, \ker(\beta)/U) = 0$. Hence the embedding problem

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \tilde{G} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta}^{-1} & & \\ \mathbf{s}: & 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\beta)/U & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G}_\Delta/U & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G}_\Delta/\ker(\beta) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

has a weak solution (cf. [16, Prop.3.2]). This implies that \mathbf{s} is split exact, which contradicts the fact that \mathbf{s} is also a p -Frattini extension. Thus $\ker(\beta) = 1$, and this yields the claim. \square

5.3. Chevalley groups over \mathbb{Z}_p . For a given Dynkin diagram D let X_D be the simple simply-connected \mathbb{Z} -Chevalley group scheme associated to D , i.e., if D is of type A_n , one has $X_D = Sl_{n+1}$. It has been proved in [18, Thm.B] that

$$(5.8) \quad \pi_D: X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow X_D(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

is a p -Frattini extension apart from possibly 11 explicitly known values of (D, p) . It was also shown that in 8 of these 11 cases (5.8) fails to be a p -Frattini extension.

In case π_D is a p -Frattini extension, then it is also a Δ_D -Frattini extension, where Δ_D consists of all the $\mathbb{F}_p[X(F_p)]$ -composition factors of the \mathbb{F}_p -Chevalley Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}_D \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ (cf. [18, (2.5)]). If one has additionally

$$(5.9) \quad (D, p) \notin \{ (A_n, p), p|(n+1), (B_n, 2), (C_n, 2), (D_n, 2), \dots, (E_6, 3), (E_7, 2), (F_4, 2), (G_2, 2), (G_2, 3) \},$$

then $\mathfrak{L}_D \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p[X_D(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ -module (cf. [18, Lemma 2.10]), and thus $\Delta_D = \{[\mathfrak{L}_D \otimes \mathbb{F}_p]\}$.

The question raised in [6, Prob.20.40] can now be restated in the following way.

Question 5.2. *Assume that p is large with respect to the Coxeter number of D . Is it true that the p -Frattini extension $\pi_D: X(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{F}_p)$ coincide with the universal Δ_D -Frattini extension?*

From Proposition 5.1 one concludes that the problem of Question 5.2 is equivalent to the following vanishing problem.

Question 5.3. *Assume that p is large with respect to the Coxeter number of D . Is it true that*

$$(5.10) \quad H^2(X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p), \mathfrak{L}_D \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) = 0?$$

As we see in the following theorem both questions have an affirmative answer for $X_D = Sl_2$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let p be a prime number different from 2, 3 or 5. Then*

$$(5.11) \quad \pi_{A_1}: Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

coincides with the universal Δ -Frattini extension for all $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$ satisfying $[M_2] \in \Delta$, $[M_{p-3}] \notin \Delta$, where M_k , $k = 0, \dots, p-1$ denotes the irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p[Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ -module of highest weight k and \mathbb{F}_p -dimension $k+1$.

Proof. By the previously mentioned remark and Proposition 5.1 it suffices to show that $H^2(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k) = 0$ for all $k \neq p-3$.

As $p \neq 2, 3$, $\tilde{G} := Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is p -torsionfree, and thus a p -Poincaré duality group of dimension d (cf. [13, Prop.4.4.1]). As we assumed $p \neq 2, 3$, \tilde{G} is perfect (cf. [18, Prop.3.2]). Thus its p -dualizing module $\mathbb{I}_{\tilde{G}, p}$ is a trivial \tilde{G} -module. Hence by Poincaré duality and the Universal Coefficient Theorem one has

$$(5.12) \quad H^2(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k) \simeq H_1(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k) \simeq H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k)^*,$$

where $*$ denotes the Pontryagin dual. Moreover, from [16, Prop.3.1] and [17] one concludes that

$$(5.13) \quad H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k) \simeq H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p), M_k) = 0$$

for $k \neq p-3$. This yields the claim. \square

Remark 5.5. Theorem 5.4 does not hold for $p = 2, 3$ or 5, but in each case for a different reason.

For $p = 2$ or 3, π_{A_1} is not a 2-Frattini extension (cf. [18, Thm.B]). For $p = 3$, π_{A_1} is even a split extension, since in this case $\mathfrak{L}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_3$ is isomorphic to the Steinberg module for $Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$.

For $p = 5$, $\Omega_2(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_5), \mathbb{F}_5)$ is a Δ_{A_1} -module (cf. [17]). Hence the universal elementary p -abelian Δ_{A_1} -extension coincides with the universal elementary p -abelian Frattini extension π/p . However,

$$(5.14) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_5}(\Omega_2(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_5), \mathbb{F}_5)) = 6, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_5}(\ker(\pi_{A_1})^{ab}) = 3.$$

This phenomenon can also be explained by analyzing cohomology groups. Since $p - 3 = 2$, Poincaré duality and [16, Prop.3.1] implies that

$$(5.15) \quad H^2(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_5), \mathfrak{L}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_5)^* \simeq H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_5), \mathfrak{L}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_5) \simeq H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_5), \mathfrak{L}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_5) \simeq \mathbb{F}_5.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bailey and M. D. Fried. Hurwitz monodromy, spin separation and higher levels of modular towers. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 70:79–200, 2000.
- [2] A. Brumer. Pseudocompact algebras, profinite groups and class formations. *J. Algebra*, 4:442–470, 1966.
- [3] J. Cossey, O. H. Kegel, and L. G. Kovács. Maximal Frattini extensions. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 35(3):210–217, 1980.
- [4] A. Dress. *Contributions to the theory of induced representations*, volume 342 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [5] K. Erdmann. Principal blocks of groups with dihedral sylow 2-subgroups. *Comm. Algebra*, 5:665–694, 1977.
- [6] M. D. Fried and M. Jarden. *Field Arithmetic*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3.Folge, Band 11. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [7] K. W. Gruenberg. Projective profinite groups. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 47:155–165, 1967.
- [8] K. W. Gruenberg. *Relation modules for finite groups*, volume 25 of *Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1976.
- [9] S. Mac Lane. *Homology*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [10] J. Neukirch. *Algebraische Zahlentheorie*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [11] L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii. *Profinite Groups*, volume 40 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3.Folge*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [12] J-P. Serre. *Galois Cohomology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, cinquième édition, révisée et complétée édition, 1997.
- [13] P. Symonds and Th. Weigel. Cohomology of p -adic analytic groups. In M. duSautoy, D. Segal, and A. Shalev, editors, *New horizons in pro- p groups*, volume 184 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 349–410. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.
- [14] J. Tate. Relations between K_2 and Galois cohomology. *Invent. Math.*, 36:257–274, 1976.
- [15] P. Webb. User Guide to Mackey Functors. In M. Hazewinkel, editor, *Handbook of Algebra*, volume 2, pages 805–836. Elsevier, 2000.
- [16] Th. Weigel. Maximal ℓ -frattini quotients of ℓ -Poincaré duality groups of dimension 2. to appear in *Arch. Math. (Basel)*.
- [17] Th. Weigel. On the universal Frattini extension of a finite group. submitted.
- [18] Th. Weigel. On the Profinite Completion of Arithmetic Groups of Split Type. In M. Goze, editor, *Lois d'algèbres et variété algébrique*, volume 50 of *Travaux en cours*, pages 79–101. Hermann, Paris, 1996.

TH. WEIGEL, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO-BICOCCA, U5-3067, VIA R.COZZI, 53, 20125 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: thomas.weigel@unimib.it