



STAMPATO NEL MESE DI FEBBRAIO 2008  
PRESSO IL DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI,  
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO-BICOCCA, VIA R. COZZI 53, 20125 MILANO, ITALIA.

DISPONIBILE IN FORMATO ELETTRONICO SUL SITO [www.matapp.unimib.it](http://www.matapp.unimib.it).  
SEGRETERIA DI REDAZIONE: Ada Osmetti - Giuseppina Cogliandro  
tel.: +39 02 6448 5755-5758 fax: +39 02 6448 5705

**Esemplare fuori commercio per il deposito legale agli effetti della Legge 15 aprile 2004  
n.106.**

# ON THE EXISTENCE OF GROUND STATE SOLUTIONS TO NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH MULTISINGULAR INVERSE-SQUARE ANISOTROPIC POTENTIALS

VERONICA FELLI

ABSTRACT. A class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with critical power-nonlinearity and potentials exhibiting multiple anisotropic inverse square singularities is investigated. Conditions on strength, location, and orientation of singularities are given for the minimum of the associated Rayleigh quotient to be achieved, both in the whole  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and in bounded domains.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

This paper is concerned with the following class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a critical power-nonlinearity and a potential exhibiting multiple anisotropic inverse square singularities:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta v - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|} \right)}{|x-a_i|^2} v = v^{2^*-1}, \\ v > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $N \geq 3$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h_i \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ ,  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{kN}$ ,  $a_i \neq a_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$  is the critical Sobolev exponent.

The interest in such a class of equations arises in nonrelativistic molecular physics. Inverse square potentials with anisotropic coupling terms turn out to describe the interaction between electric charges and dipole moments of molecules, see [16]. In crystalline matter, the presence of many dipoles leads to consider multisingular Schrödinger operators of the form

$$(2) \quad -\Delta - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i (x - a_i) \cdot \mathbf{d}_i}{|x - a_i|^3},$$

where  $\lambda_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , is proportional to the magnitude of the  $i$ -th dipole and  $\mathbf{d}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , is the unit vector giving the orientation of the  $i$ -th dipole.

Schrödinger equations and operators with isotropic inverse-square singular potentials have been largely investigated in the literature, both in the case of one pole, see e.g. [1, 13, 15, 19, 21], and in that of multiple singularities, see [4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 12]. The anisotropic case was first considered in [21] where the problem of existence of ground state solutions to (1) was discussed for  $k = 1$ . In [10], an asymptotic formula for solutions to equation associated with dipole-type Schrödinger

---

*Date:* February 1, 2008.

Supported by Italy MIUR, national project “Variational Methods and Nonlinear Differential Equations”.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60, 35J20, 35B33.

*Keywords.* Multisingular anisotropic potentials, critical exponent, Schrödinger equations.

operators near the singularity was established. We also mention that positivity, localization of binding and essential self-adjointness properties of a class of Schrödinger operators with many anisotropic inverse square singularities were investigated in [11].

Ground state solutions to (1), i.e. solutions with the smallest energy, can be obtained through minimization of the associated Rayleigh quotient

$$(3) \quad S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}(u)}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  denotes the closure space of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

and  $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the quadratic form associated to the left-hand side of equation (1), i.e.

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{Q}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|} \right)}{|x-a_i|^2} u^2(x) dx.$$

Positive minimizers of (3) suitably rescaled give rise to weak  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -solutions to (1), which, by the Brezis-Kato Theorem [2] and standard elliptic regularity theory turn out to be classical solutions in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ .

The present paper means to extend to problems (1) and (3) the analysis performed in [12] in the case of locally isotropic inverse square potentials (i.e. for all  $h_i$ 's constant), proving conditions on the strength, location and orientation of singularities for their solvability.

A necessary condition for the existence of positive classical solutions to (1) in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  is that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is positive semidefinite in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *A necessary condition for the solvability of problem (1) is that the quadratic form  $\mathcal{Q}(u)$  defined in (4) is positive semidefinite, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{Q}(u) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

A necessary condition on the angular coefficients  $h_i$ 's for the positive semidefiniteness of the quadratic form can be expressed in terms of the first eigenvalues of the associated Schrödinger operators on the sphere. Indeed, letting, for any  $h \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ ,  $\mu_1(h)$  be the first eigenvalue of the operator  $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} - h(\theta)$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , i.e.

$$\mu_1(h) = \min_{\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \psi(\theta)|^2 dV(\theta) - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} h(\theta) \psi^2(\theta) dV(\theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \psi^2(\theta) dV(\theta)},$$

a necessary (but not sufficient) condition for the quadratic form defined in (4) to be positive semidefinite is that

$$(5) \quad \mu_1(h_i) \geq -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^k h_i\right) \geq -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2,$$

see [10].

In particular, condition (5) is necessary for solvability of problem (1). In this paper, we shall actually consider multisingular anisotropic potentials with angular terms satisfying the stronger

assumption

$$(6) \quad \mu_1(h_i) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^k h_i\right) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2.$$

In [11, Proposition 1.2] it was proved that condition (6) is necessary for the quadratic form  $\mathcal{Q}$  to be positive definite, i.e. to have

$$(7) \quad \mu(h_1, \dots, h_k, a_1, \dots, a_k) := \inf_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}(u)}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2} > 0.$$

On the other hand, (6) is not sufficient for the validity of (7), see [11, Example 1.5]. However, if (6) holds, then (7) turns out to be necessary for the solvability of (1).

**Proposition 1.2.** *If (6) holds and (1) admits a positive  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -solution, then (7) is necessarily satisfied.*

Due to the above proposition, in order to look for solutions to (1), we will assume that the quadratic form  $\mathcal{Q}$  is positive definite. The dependence of positivity of the quadratic form on the location and orientation of dipoles has been deeply investigated in [11], where conditions on the  $h_i$ 's and  $a_i$ 's ensuring the validity of (7) can be found. If  $\mathcal{Q}(u)$  is positive definite, then Sobolev's inequality implies that

$$S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \geq \mu(h_1, \dots, h_k, a_1, \dots, a_k) S > 0,$$

where  $S$  is the best constant in the classical Sobolev inequality, i.e.

$$S = \inf_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}.$$

Problems (1) and (3) have been treated by Terracini in [21] in the one-dipole case  $k = 1$ . For  $h \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ , let

$$(8) \quad S(h) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u(x)|^2 - \frac{h(x/|x|)}{|x|^2} u^2(x)] dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*}\right)^{2/2^*}}.$$

Let us recall from [21] the following existence result for the one-dipole type problem.

**Theorem 1.3.** [21, Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 0.2] *Let  $h \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$  such that  $\mu_1(h) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2$  and*

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \max_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} h > 0, & \text{if } N \geq 4, \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} h \geq 0, & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$

*Let  $S(h)$  be defined in (8). Then  $S(h) < S$  and  $S(h)$  is achieved.*

The main difficulty in the minimization of the Rayleigh quotient in (3) is due to the lack of compactness of the embeddings  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-2}h(x/|x|)dx)$ , where, for  $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ ,  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-2}h(x/|x|)dx)$  is the weighted Lebesgue space endowed with the norm  $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2}h(x/|x|)u^2(x) dx\right)^{1/2}$ . Such a lack of compactness could produce non convergence of minimizing sequences and non attainability of the infimum of the Rayleigh quotient in some cases. In [12] several configurations for which the infimum of the Rayleigh quotient is not

attained are produced in the isotropic case, i.e. for all  $h_i$ 's constant; e.g. the infimum in (3) is not attained if the coefficients  $h_i$ 's are positive constants or if  $k = 2$  and  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are constant.

A careful analysis of the behavior of minimizing sequences performed through the P. L. Lions Concentration-Compactness Principle [17, 18] clarifies what are the possible reasons for lack of compactness: concentration of mass at some non-singular point, at one of the singularities or at infinity, see Theorem 4.1. Extending analogous results of [12] for the isotropic case, Theorem 1.4 below provides sufficient conditions for minimizing sequences to stay at an energy level which is strictly below all the energy thresholds at which the compactness can be lost. The proof is based on a comparison between levels which is carried out by testing the energy functional associated to (1) with solutions to (8). On the other hand, while in the isotropic case the solutions to (8) are completely classified and can be explicitly written, in the anisotropic case an explicit form of them is not available. We overcome this difficulty by exploiting the asymptotic analysis of the behavior near the singularities of solutions performed in [10], which allows us to estimate the behavior of minimizing sequences and to force their level to stay in the recovered compactness range.

From now on, for every  $h \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ , we denote as  $\mu_1(h)$  the first eigenvalue of the operator  $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} - h(\theta)$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and by  $\psi_1^h$  the associated positive  $L^2$ -normalized eigenfunction, and set

$$(10) \quad \sigma_h := -\frac{N-2}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_1(h)}.$$

**Theorem 1.4.** *For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $a_i \neq a_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $h_i \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$  satisfy (7). If*

$$(11) \quad S(h_k) = \min\{S(h_j) : j = 1, \dots, k\},$$

$$(12) \quad h_k \text{ satisfies (9),}$$

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{a_k - a_i}{|a_k - a_i|}\right)}{|a_k - a_i|^2} > 0, & \text{if } \mu_1(h_k) \geq -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \left[\psi_1^{h_k}\left(\frac{x+a_i-a_k}{|x+a_i-a_k|}\right)\right]^2}{|x|^2 |x+a_i-a_k|^{2(\sigma_{h_k}+N-2)}} > 0, & \text{if } -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 < \mu_1(h_k) < -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(14) \quad S(h_k) \leq S\left(\sum_{i=1}^k h_i\right),$$

then the infimum in (3) is achieved and problem (1) admits a solution in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

We notice that  $S(h) = S(h \circ A)$  for any  $h \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$  and any orthogonal matrix  $A \in O(N)$ . Hence condition (14) is satisfied for example if there exists an orthogonal matrix  $A \in O(N)$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k h_i(\theta) \leq h_k(A(\theta)), \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}.$$

Let us describe in more detail the case in which the singularities are generated by electric dipoles, i.e.  $h_i(\theta) = \lambda_i \theta \cdot \mathbf{d}_i$ , for some  $\lambda_i > 0$  and  $\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|\mathbf{d}_i| = 1$ . For any  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with

$|\mathbf{d}| = 1$ , let

$$\mu_1^\lambda = \min_{\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \psi(\theta)|^2 dV(\theta) - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} (\theta \cdot \mathbf{d}) \psi^2(\theta) dV(\theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \psi^2(\theta) dV(\theta)}$$

be the first eigenvalue of the operator  $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} - \lambda(\theta \cdot \mathbf{d})$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . By rotation invariance, it is easy to verify that the above minimum does not depend on  $\mathbf{d}$ . Moreover, condition (6) can be explicitly expressed as a bound on the dipole magnitudes; indeed,

$$\mu_1^\lambda > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \lambda < \frac{1}{\Lambda_N}$$

where  $\Lambda_N$  is the best constant in the dipole Hardy-type inequality, i.e.

$$\Lambda_N := \sup_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{d}}{|x|^3} u^2(x) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx},$$

see [10]. By rotation invariance,  $\Lambda_N$  does not depend on the unit vector  $\mathbf{d}$  and, by classical Hardy's inequality,  $\Lambda_N < 4/(N-2)^2$ . For every  $\lambda > 0$ , let us denote  $\sigma^\lambda := -\frac{N-2}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_1^\lambda}$ .

**Corollary 1.5.** *For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $a_i \neq a_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|\mathbf{d}_i| = 1$ , and*

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k < \Lambda_N^{-1}.$$

*Assume that the quadratic form*

$$u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i (x - a_i) \cdot \mathbf{d}_i}{|x - a_i|^3} u^2(x) dx$$

*is positive definite and that*

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_i \mathbf{d}_i \cdot \frac{a_k - a_i}{|a_k - a_i|}}{|a_k - a_i|^2} > 0, & \text{if } \mu_1^{\lambda_k} \geq -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\lambda_i \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \mathbf{d}_i \left[ \psi_1^{\lambda_k \theta \cdot \mathbf{d}_k} \left( \frac{x + a_i - a_k}{|x + a_i - a_k|} \right) \right]^2}{|x|^2 |x + a_i - a_k|^{2(\sigma^{\lambda_k} + N - 2)}} > 0, & \text{if } -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 < \mu_1^{\lambda_k} < -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(16) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mathbf{d}_i \right| \leq \lambda_k.$$

*Then the infimum*

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i (x - a_i) \cdot \mathbf{d}_i}{|x - a_i|^3} u^2(x) dx}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}}$$

is achieved and the problem

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i (x - a_i) \cdot \mathbf{d}_i}{|x - a_i|^3} u = u^{2^*-1}, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}, \end{cases}$$

admits a solution in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

With respect to the isotropic case, the possibility of orientating the dipoles helps in finding the balance between the strength and the locations of the singularities required in assumptions (15–16). Let us consider for example the case of two dipoles  $k = 2$ . Assume that  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_2$  is small and  $N$  is large in such a way that the associated quadratic form is positive definite and  $\mu_1^{\lambda_2} \geq -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$ . Then condition (15) reads as

$$(a_2 - a_1) \cdot \mathbf{d}_1 > 0,$$

while (16) reads as

$$\mathbf{d}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d}_2 < -\frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_2}.$$

In this case, if the first dipole  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{d}_1$  is fixed at point  $a_1$ , (15) gives a constraint on the location of the second dipole while (16) gives a condition on its orientation. In particular, it is possible to construct many configurations ensuring the existence of ground state solutions to (17), unlike the isotropic case where problem (1) with  $k = 2$  and  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  constants has no ground state solutions, as observed in [12, Theorem 1.3].

In bounded domains, concentration of mass at infinity is no more possible and an existence result similar to Theorem 1.4 can be obtained without assumption (14).

**Theorem 1.6.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain,  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\} \subset \Omega$ ,  $h_i \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , such that the quadratic form*

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{Q}_\Omega(u) := \int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|}\right)}{|x-a_i|^2} u^2(x) dx \quad \text{is positive definite,}$$

$h_k$  satisfies (9),  $S(h_k) = \min\{S(h_j) : j = 1, \dots, k\}$ ,

$$\mu_1(h_k) \geq -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{a_k-a_i}{|a_k-a_i|}\right)}{|a_k-a_i|^2} > 0.$$

Then the infimum in

$$(19) \quad S_\Omega(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\Omega(u)}{\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\Omega)}^2},$$

is achieved and equation

$$(20) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{h_i\left(\frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|}\right)}{|x-a_i|^2} u = u^{2^*-1}, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

admits a solution in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

The further assumption  $\mu_1(h_k) \geq -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$  of Theorem 1.6 is not technical but quite natural when working in bounded domains. Indeed it plays the role of a critical dimension for Brezis-Nirenberg type problems in bounded domains, see [3, 15].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the proofs of Propositions 1.1 and 1.2. In section 3 some interaction estimates are first deduced and then applied to comparison of energy levels of minimizing sequences. Section 4 provides a local Palais-Smale condition which is used to prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5. Finally, in section 5 we analyze the problem in bounded domains.

**Notation.** We list below some notation used throughout the paper.

- $B(a, r)$  denotes the ball  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - a| < r\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with center at  $a$  and radius  $r$ .
- For any  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of  $A$ .
- $S$  is the best constant in the Sobolev inequality  $S\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2$ .
- $\omega_N$  denotes the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .
- $O(N)$  denotes the group of orthogonal  $N \times N$  matrices.

## 2. NECESSITY OF THE POSITIVITY OF THE QUADRATIC FORM

In the present section we discuss the necessity of the positivity of the quadratic form for the solvability of (1), by proving Propositions 1.1 and 1.2.

**Proof of Proposition 1.1.** Let  $u$  be a positive classical solutions to (1) in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ . For any  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\})$ , by testing equation (9) with  $\frac{\phi^2}{u}$  we obtain

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi}{u} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi^2}{u^2} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|} \right)}{|x-a_i|^2} u^2(x) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi^2 u^{2^*-2} \, dx = 0.$$

From the elementary inequality  $2 \frac{\phi}{u} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u - \frac{\phi^2}{u^2} |\nabla u|^2 \leq |\nabla \phi|^2$ , we deduce

$$\mathcal{Q}(\phi) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi^2 u^{2^*-2} \, dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}).$$

From density of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\})$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  (see [6, Lemma 2.1]), we obtain that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is positive semidefinite in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . ■

**Proof of Proposition 1.2.** Assume that (6) holds and let  $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a positive  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -solution to (1). By Proposition 1.1, it follows that

$$\mu(h_1, \dots, h_k, a_1, \dots, a_k) \geq 0,$$

where  $\mu(h_1, \dots, h_k, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  has been defined in (7). Let us assume by contradiction that  $\mu(h_1, \dots, h_k, a_1, \dots, a_k) = 0$ . From [11, Proposition 4.1],  $\mu(h_1, \dots, h_k, a_1, \dots, a_k) = 0$  is attained by some  $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $v \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $v \not\equiv 0$ , which then satisfies

$$-\Delta v - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|} \right)}{|x-a_i|^2} v = 0 \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Testing the above equation with  $u$ , we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{2^*-1}(x)v(x) \, dx = 0$$

which is in contradiction with the positivity of  $u$ . ■

### 3. INTERACTION ESTIMATES AND COMPARISON OF ENERGY LEVELS

By Theorem 1.3, for every function  $h \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$  verifying  $\mu_1(h) > -(\frac{N-2}{2})^2$  and (9), there exists some  $\phi_h \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\phi_h \geq 0$ ,  $\phi_h \not\equiv 0$ , such that  $\phi_h$  attains  $S(h)$ , i.e.

$$(21) \quad S(h) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla \phi_h(x)|^2 - \frac{h(x/|x|)}{|x|^2} \phi_h^2(x)] dx}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi_h|^{2^*})^{2/2^*}},$$

and solves

$$(22) \quad -\Delta \phi_h - \frac{h(x/|x|)}{|x|^2} \phi_h = \phi_h^{2^*-1}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Moreover the Kelvin's transform  $w_h(x) := |x|^{-(N-2)} \phi_h(x/|x|^2)$  solves  $-\Delta w_h - \frac{h(x/|x|)}{|x|^2} w_h = w_h^{2^*-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . From [10], it follows that, letting  $\sigma_h$  defined in (10), the functions

$$x \mapsto \frac{\phi_h(x)}{|x|^{\sigma_h} \psi_1^h(x/|x|)}, \quad x \mapsto \frac{w_h(x)}{|x|^{\sigma_h} \psi_1^h(x/|x|)} = \frac{\phi_h(x/|x|^2)}{|x|^{\sigma_h+N-2} \psi_1^h(x/|x|)}$$

are continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and admit positive limits as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.

$$(23) \quad c_0^h := \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi_h(x)}{|x|^{\sigma_h} \psi_1^h(x/|x|)} \in (0, +\infty) \text{ and } c_\infty^h := \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi_h(x)}{|x|^{-\sigma_h-N+2} \psi_1^h(x/|x|)} \in (0, +\infty).$$

Hence there exists a positive constant  $C(h) > 0$  such that

$$(24) \quad \frac{1}{C(h)} \frac{|x|^{\sigma_h}}{1 + |x|^{2\sigma_h+N-2}} \leq \phi_h(x) \leq \frac{C(h) |x|^{\sigma_h}}{1 + |x|^{2\sigma_h+N-2}}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

For any  $\mu > 0$ , let us denote  $\phi_\mu^h(x) := \mu^{-(N-2)/2} \phi_h(x/\mu)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $h, k \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$  such that  $h$  satisfies (9) and  $\mu_1(h) > -(\frac{N-2}{2})^2 + 1$ . Then, for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ , there holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_h^2(x) dx \in (0, +\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k(\frac{x-a}{|x-a|})}{|x-a|^2} |\phi_\mu^h(x)|^2 dx = \mu^2 \left[ \frac{k(\frac{-a}{|a|})}{|a|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_h^2(x) dx + o(1) \right]$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ .

**PROOF.** From (24) and the assumption  $\mu_1(h) > -(\frac{N-2}{2})^2 + 1$ , it follows that  $\phi_h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . We have that

$$(25) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k(\frac{x-a}{|x-a|})}{|x-a|^2} |\phi_\mu^h(x)|^2 dx = \mu^2 \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} \frac{k(\frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|})}{|\mu x - a|^2} \phi_h^2(x) dx \\ + \mu^{-N+2} \int_{|x+a| \geq \frac{|a|}{2}} \frac{k(\frac{x}{|x|})}{|x|^2} \phi_h^2\left(\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right) dx.$$

Since

$$\left| \chi_{B(0, \frac{|a|}{2\mu})}(x) \frac{k(\frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|})}{|\mu x - a|^2} \right| \leq \frac{4}{|a|^2} \|k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})}$$

and  $\phi_h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , from the Dominated Convergence Theorem we deduce that

$$(26) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} \frac{k\left(\frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|}\right)}{|\mu x - a|^2} \phi_h^2(x) dx = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_{B(0, \frac{|a|}{2\mu})}(x) \frac{k\left(\frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|}\right)}{|\mu x - a|^2} \phi_h^2(x) dx \\ = \frac{k\left(\frac{-a}{|a|}\right)}{|a|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_h^2(x) dx.$$

Moreover, from (24) and  $\mu_1(h) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$ , it follows that

$$(27) \quad \left| \mu^{-N} \int_{|x+a| \geq \frac{|a|}{2}} \frac{k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^2} \phi_h^2\left(\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right) dx \right| \\ \leq \mu^{2\sigma_h + N - 4} \|k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} (C(h))^2 \int_{|x+a| \geq \frac{|a|}{2}} \frac{1}{|x|^2 |x+a|^{2(\sigma_h + N - 2)}} dx = o(1) \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0^+.$$

The conclusion follows then from (25), (26), and (27). ■

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $h, k \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$  such that  $h$  satisfies (9), and  $\mu_1(h) = -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$ . Then, for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$(28) \quad N\omega_N \frac{|\log \mu|}{|C(h)|^2} (1 + o(1)) \leq \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} \phi_h^2(x) dx \leq N\omega_N |C(h)|^2 |\log \mu| (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where  $\omega_N$  is the volume of the standard unit  $N$ -ball, and

$$(29) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x-a}{|x-a|}\right)}{|x-a|^2} |\phi_\mu^h(x)|^2 dx = \mu^2 \left( \frac{k\left(\frac{-a}{|a|}\right)}{|a|^2} + o(1) \right) \left[ \int_{|x| < \frac{1}{\mu}} \phi_h^2(x) dx \right]$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ .

PROOF. Estimate (28) follows from (24) and direct calculations. We have that

$$(30) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x-a}{|x-a|}\right)}{|x-a|^2} |\phi_\mu^h(x)|^2 dx \\ = \mu^2 \left[ \frac{k\left(\frac{-a}{|a|}\right)}{|a|^2} \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} \phi_h^2(x) dx + \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} k\left(\frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|}\right) \left( \frac{1}{|\mu x - a|^2} - \frac{1}{|a|^2} \right) \phi_h^2(x) dx \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{|a|^2} \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} \left( k\left(\frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|}\right) - k\left(\frac{-a}{|a|}\right) \right) \phi_h^2(x) dx + \mu^{-N} \int_{|x+a| \geq \frac{|a|}{2}} \frac{k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^2} \phi_h^2\left(\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right) dx \right].$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{1}{|\mu x - a|^2} - \frac{1}{|a|^2} \right| \leq \frac{4}{|a|^4} (\mu^2 |x|^2 + 2\mu |a| |x|) \quad \text{for } |x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu},$$

from (24) it follows that

$$(31) \quad \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} k\left(\frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|}\right) \left( \frac{1}{|\mu x - a|^2} - \frac{1}{|a|^2} \right) \phi_h^2(x) dx = O(1) \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Since  $k \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$ , for some positive constant  $C$  depending on  $k$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left| k\left(\frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|}\right) - k\left(\frac{-a}{|a|}\right) \right| &\leq C \left| \frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|} - \frac{-a}{|a|} \right| = \frac{C\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{|\mu x - a|}} \sqrt{|\mu x - a| - |a| + \mu \frac{a \cdot x}{|a|}} \\ &\leq \frac{C\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{|\mu x - a|}} \sqrt{2\mu|x|} \leq \frac{2C\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{|x|}}{\sqrt{|a|}} \quad \text{for } |x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

hence, from (24), it follows that

$$(32) \quad \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} \left( k\left(\frac{\mu x - a}{|\mu x - a|}\right) - k\left(\frac{-a}{|a|}\right) \right) \phi_h^2(x) dx = O(1) \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0^+.$$

From (24), we deduce that

$$\left| \mu^{-N} \int_{|x+a| \geq \frac{|a|}{2}} \frac{k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^2} \phi_h^2\left(\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right) dx \right| \leq \|k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} (C(h))^2 \int_{|x+a| \geq \frac{|a|}{2}} \frac{1}{|x|^2 |x+a|^N}$$

hence

$$(33) \quad \mu^{-N} \int_{|x+a| \geq \frac{|a|}{2}} \frac{k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^2} \phi_h^2\left(\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right) dx = O(1) \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0^+.$$

From (28), (30), (31), (32), and (33) it follows that

$$(34) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x-a}{|x-a|}\right)}{|x-a|^2} |\phi_\mu^h(x)|^2 dx = \mu^2 \left( \frac{k\left(\frac{-a}{|a|}\right)}{|a|^2} + o(1) \right) \left[ \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} \phi_h^2(x) dx \right]$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ . From (24) and the assumption  $\mu_1(h) = -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$ , we obtain that

$$\left| \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} \phi_h^2(x) dx - \int_{|x| < \frac{1}{\mu}} \phi_h^2(x) dx \right| \leq N\omega_N (C(h))^2 \left| \int_{\frac{1}{\mu}}^{\frac{|a|}{2\mu}} r^{-1} dr \right| = N\omega_N (C(h))^2 \left| \log \frac{|a|}{2} \right|,$$

hence, taking into account that, under assumption  $\mu_1(h) = -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$ ,  $\phi_h \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

$$(35) \quad \int_{|x| < \frac{|a|}{2\mu}} \phi_h^2(x) dx = \int_{|x| < \frac{1}{\mu}} \phi_h^2(x) dx + O(1) = (1 + o(1)) \int_{|x| < \frac{1}{\mu}} \phi_h^2(x) dx$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ . The conclusion (29) follows from (34) and (35). ■

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $h, k \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$  such that  $h$  satisfies (9) and  $-\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 < \mu_1(h) < -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$ . Then, for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  and  $A \in O(N)$  such that  $Ae_1 = \frac{a}{|a|}$ , with  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x-a}{|x-a|}\right)}{|x-a|^2} |\phi_\mu^h(x)|^2 dx &= \mu^{2\sigma_h + N - 2} \left[ (c_\infty^h)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \left[ \psi_1^h\left(\frac{x+a}{|x+a|}\right) \right]^2}{|x|^2 |x+a|^{2(\sigma_h + N - 2)}} dx + o(1) \right] \\ &= \frac{\mu^{2\sigma_h + N - 2}}{|a|^{2\sigma_h + N - 2}} \left[ (c_\infty^h)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(k \circ A)\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \left[ (\psi_1^h \circ A)\left(\frac{x+e_1}{|x+e_1|}\right) \right]^2}{|x|^2 |x+e_1|^{2(\sigma_h + N - 2)}} dx + o(1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ , being  $c_\infty^h$  defined by (23).

PROOF. A direct calculation yields

$$(36) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x-a}{|x-a|}\right)}{|x-a|^2} |\phi_\mu^h(x)|^2 dx = \mu^{2\sigma_h+N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \left[\psi_1^h\left(\frac{x+a}{|x+a|}\right)\right]^2}{|x|^2|x+a|^{2(\sigma_h+N-2)}} \cdot \frac{\phi_h^2\left(\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right)}{\left|\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right|^{2(2-\sigma_h-N)} \left[\psi_1^h\left(\frac{x+a}{|x+a|}\right)\right]^2} dx.$$

From (24), it follows that the function

$$x \mapsto \frac{\phi_h^2\left(\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right)}{\left|\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right|^{2(2-\sigma_h-N)} \left[\psi_1^h\left(\frac{x+a}{|x+a|}\right)\right]^2}$$

is bounded a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  uniformly with respect to  $\mu > 0$ , whereas (23) implies that, for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$(37) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi_h^2\left(\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right)}{\left|\frac{x+a}{\mu}\right|^{2(2-\sigma_h-N)} \left[\psi_1^h\left(\frac{x+a}{|x+a|}\right)\right]^2} = (c_\infty^h)^2.$$

Since the assumption  $\mu_1(h) < -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$  ensures that

$$x \mapsto \frac{k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \left[\psi_1^h\left(\frac{x+a}{|x+a|}\right)\right]^2}{|x|^2|x+a|^{2(\sigma_h+N-2)}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

from (36), (37), and the Dominated Convergence Theorem we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x-a}{|x-a|}\right)}{|x-a|^2} |\phi_\mu^h(x)|^2 dx = \mu^{2\sigma_h+N-2} \left[ (c_\infty^h)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \left[\psi_1^h\left(\frac{x+a}{|x+a|}\right)\right]^2}{|x|^2|x+a|^{2(\sigma_h+N-2)}} dx + o(1) \right]$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ . Through the change of variable  $x = |a|Ay$ , we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \left[\psi_1^h\left(\frac{x+a}{|x+a|}\right)\right]^2}{|x|^2|x+a|^{2(\sigma_h+N-2)}} dx = |a|^{-N-2\sigma_h+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(k \circ A)\left(\frac{y}{|y|}\right) \left[\psi_1^h\left(A\left(\frac{y+e_1}{|y+e_1|}\right)\right)\right]^2}{|y|^2|y+e_1|^{2(\sigma_h+N-2)}} dy$$

thus completing the proof. ■

The interaction estimates provided by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, allow us to compare the ground state level of the multisingular problem with the ground state level of the single dipole problem.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $h_i \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let us assume that  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $h_j$  verifies (9), and one of the following assumptions is satisfied*

$$(38) \quad \mu_1(h_j) \geq -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k \frac{h_i\left(\frac{a_j - a_i}{|a_j - a_i|}\right)}{|a_j - a_i|^2} > 0,$$

$$(39) \quad -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 < \mu_1(h_j) < -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \left[\psi_1^{h_j}\left(\frac{x+a_i-a_j}{|x+a_i-a_j|}\right)\right]^2}{|x|^2|x+a_i-a_j|^{2(\sigma_{h_j}+N-2)}} dx > 0.$$

Then  $S(h_1, \dots, h_k) < S(h_j)$ .

PROOF. Since  $h_j$  satisfies (9), by Theorem 1.3 there exists  $\phi_{h_j} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\phi_{h_j} \geq 0$ ,  $\phi_{h_j} \not\equiv 0$ , attaining  $S(h_j)$ , i.e. satisfying (21–22) with  $h = h_j$ . Let us set  $z_\mu(x) = \phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)$ . There holds

$$\begin{aligned} S(h_1, \dots, h_k) &\leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla z_\mu(x)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_j\left(\frac{x-a_j}{|x-a_j|}\right)}{|x-a_j|^2} z_\mu^2(x) dx - \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|}\right)}{|x-a_i|^2} z_\mu^2(x) dx}{\|z_\mu\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_{h_j}(x)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_j\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^2} \phi_{h_j}^2(x) dx - \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{x-(a_i-a_j)}{|x-(a_i-a_j)|}\right)}{|x-(a_i-a_j)|^2} (\phi_{h_j}^{h_j}(x))^2 dx}{\|\phi_{h_j}\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From above and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, we deduce the following estimate

$$(40) \quad S(h_1, \dots, h_k) \leq S(h_j) - \|\phi_{h_j}\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-2} \times \begin{cases} \mu^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_{h_j}^2(x) \right) \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{a_j-a_i}{|a_j-a_i|}\right)}{|a_j-a_i|^2} + o(1) \right) & \text{if } \mu_1(h_j) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1 \\ \mu^2 \left( \int_{|x| < \frac{1}{\mu}} \phi_{h_j}^2(x) \right) \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{a_j-a_i}{|a_j-a_i|}\right)}{|a_j-a_i|^2} + o(1) \right) & \text{if } \mu_1(h_j) = -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1 \\ \mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N-2} (c_\infty^{h_j})^2 \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \left[ \psi_1^{h_j}\left(\frac{x+a_i-a_j}{|x+a_i-a_j|}\right) \right]^2}{|x|^2 |x+a_i-a_j|^{2(\sigma_{h_j} + N-2)}} + o(1) \right) & \text{if } \mu_1(h_j) < -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1 \end{cases}$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ . Taking  $\mu$  small enough in (40), from (38–39) we obtain that  $S(h_1, \dots, h_k) < S(h_j)$ . ■

**Remark 3.5.** For  $-\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 < \mu_1(h_j) < -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$ , assumption (39) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{(h_i \circ A_{ij})\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|a_i - a_j|^{2(\sigma_{h_j} + N-2)}} \left[ (\psi_1^{h_j} \circ A_{ij})\left(\frac{x + e_1}{|x + e_1|}\right) \right]^2 \right) \frac{dx}{|x|^2 |x + e_1|^{2(\sigma_{h_j} + N-2)}} > 0,$$

where  $A_{ij} \in O(N)$  are such that  $A_{ij}e_1 = \frac{a_i - a_j}{|a_i - a_j|}$ .

#### 4. THE PALAIS-SMALE CONDITION AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

If  $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u > 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , is a critical points of the functional  $J : \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(41) \quad J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i\left(\frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|}\right)}{|x-a_i|^2} v^2(x) dx - \frac{S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*} dx,$$

then  $w = S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)^{1/(2^*-2)} u$  is a solution to equation (1) (weakly in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and classically in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ ). From now on, for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $J'(u) \in (\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*$  will denote the

Fréchet derivative of  $J$  at  $u$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  will stay for the duality product between  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and its dual space  $(\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*$ .

The Concentration-Compactness analysis of the behavior of Palais-Smale sequences provides the following local compactness result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let (7) hold and  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a Palais-Smale sequence for  $J$ , namely*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_n) = c < \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J'(u_n) = 0 \text{ in the dual space } (\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*.$$

If

$$(42) \quad c < \frac{1}{N} S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)^{1-\frac{N}{2}} \left( \min \left\{ S, S(h_1), \dots, S(h_k), S\left(\sum_{j=1}^k h_j\right) \right\} \right)^{N/2},$$

then  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  admits a subsequence strongly converging in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Palais-Smale sequence for  $J$  at level  $c$ , then from (7) there exists some positive constant  $c_1$  such that

$$c_1 \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq \mathcal{Q}(u_n) = NJ(u_n) - \frac{N-2}{2} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle = Nc + o(\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}) + o(1)$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , hence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then there exists  $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that, up to a subsequence still denoted as  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$  weakly in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any  $\alpha \in [1, 2^*)$ . The *Concentration Compactness Principle* by P. L. Lions, (see [17] and [18]), ensures that, for an at most countable set  $\mathcal{J}$ , some points  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ , some real numbers  $\mu_{x_j}, \nu_{x_j}$ ,  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $\mu_{a_i}, \nu_{a_i}, \gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the following convergences hold in the sense of measures up to a subsequence

$$(43) \quad |\nabla u_n|^2 \rightharpoonup d\mu \geq |\nabla u_0|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_{a_i} \delta_{a_i} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{x_j} \delta_{x_j},$$

$$(44) \quad |u_n|^{2^*} \rightharpoonup d\nu = |u_0|^{2^*} + \sum_{i=1}^k \nu_{a_i} \delta_{a_i} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \nu_{x_j} \delta_{x_j},$$

$$(45) \quad h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right) \frac{u_n^2}{|x - a_i|^2} \rightharpoonup d\gamma_{a_i} = h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right) \frac{u_0^2}{|x - a_i|^2} + \gamma_i \delta_{a_i}, \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Notice that we can choose  $\mu_{a_i}, \mu_{x_j}$  such that  $\mu_{a_i} = d\mu(\{a_i\})$ ,  $\mu_{x_j} = d\mu(\{x_j\})$ . From Sobolev's inequality it follows that

$$(46) \quad S\nu_{x_j}^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_{x_j} \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{J} \quad \text{and} \quad S\nu_{a_i}^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_{a_i} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

The concentration at infinity of the sequence can be valued by the following quantities

$$\nu_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > R} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} dx, \quad \mu_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > R} |\nabla u_n(x)|^2 dx$$

and

$$\gamma_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k h_i \left( \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \right) \frac{u_n^2(x)}{|x|^2} dx.$$

Testing  $J'(u_n)$  with  $u_n \phi_j^\varepsilon$ , for some smooth cut-off function  $\phi_j^\varepsilon$  centered at  $x_j$  and supported in  $B(x_j, \varepsilon)$ , and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain that  $\mu_{x_j} \leq S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \nu_{x_j}$ , which, together with (46), implies that

$$(47) \quad \mathcal{J} \text{ is finite and for } j \in \mathcal{J} \text{ either } \nu_{x_j} = 0 \text{ or } \nu_{x_j} \geq \left( \frac{S}{S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)} \right)^{N/2}.$$

To analyze concentration at singularities, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  we consider a smooth cut-off function  $\psi_i^\varepsilon$  satisfying  $0 \leq \psi_i^\varepsilon(x) \leq 1$ ,

$$\psi_i^\varepsilon(x) = 1 \quad \text{if } |x - a_i| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \psi_i^\varepsilon(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } |x - a_i| \geq \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \psi_i^\varepsilon(x)| \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

From (8) it follows that that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(u_n \psi_i^\varepsilon)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right) \frac{|\psi_i^\varepsilon|^2 u_n^2}{|x - a_i|^2} dx}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_i^\varepsilon u_n|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}} \geq S(h_i)$$

and hence

$$(48) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_i^\varepsilon|^2 |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\nabla \psi_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \psi_i^\varepsilon \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_i^\varepsilon dx \\ \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right) \frac{|\psi_i^\varepsilon|^2 u_n^2}{|x - a_i|^2} dx + S(h_i) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_i^\varepsilon u_n|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\nabla \psi_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \psi_i^\varepsilon \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_i^\varepsilon dx \right] = 0,$$

then from (48) and (43–45) we deduce that

$$(49) \quad \mu_{a_i} \geq \gamma_i + S(h_i) \nu_{a_i}^{2/2^*}.$$

Testing  $J'(u_n)$  with  $u_n \psi_i^\varepsilon$  and letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain that

$$(50) \quad \mu_{a_i} - \gamma_i \leq S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \nu_{a_i}.$$

From (49) and (50) we conclude that, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$(51) \quad \text{either } \nu_{a_i} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \nu_{a_i} \geq \left( \frac{S(h_i)}{S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)} \right)^{N/2}.$$

To study the possibility of concentration at  $\infty$ , we consider a regular cut-off function  $\psi_R$  such that

$$0 \leq \psi_R(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \psi_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| > 2R, \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| < R, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \psi_R(x)| \leq \frac{2}{R} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

From (8) we obtain that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(u_n \psi_R)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k h_i \left( \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \right) \frac{\psi_R^2 u_n^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_R u_n|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}} \geq S \left( \sum_{i=1}^k h_i \right)$$

and, consequently,

$$(52) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi_R^2 |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\nabla \psi_R|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \psi_R \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_R dx \\ \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k h_i \left( \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \right) \frac{\psi_R^2 u_n^2}{|x|^2} dx + S \left( \sum_{i=1}^k h_i \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_R u_n|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\nabla \psi_R|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \psi_R \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_R dx \right\} = 0.$$

Then from (52) we infer

$$(53) \quad \mu_\infty - \gamma_\infty \geq S \left( \sum_{i=1}^k h_i \right) \nu_\infty^{2/2^*}.$$

Testing  $J'(u_n)$  with  $u_n \psi_R$  we obtain

$$(54) \quad 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \psi_R \rangle \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \psi_R + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_R \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right) \frac{\psi_R u_n^2}{|x - a_i|^2} dx - S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi_R |u_n|^{2^*} dx \right].$$

If  $|x| \geq R$  with  $R$  sufficiently large, there holds

$$\left| \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right)}{|x - a_i|^2} - \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x}{|x|} \right)}{|x|^2} \right| \leq \left| \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right)}{|x - a_i|^2} - \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right)}{|x|^2} \right| + \frac{1}{|x|^2} \left| h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right) - h_i \left( \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \right| \\ \leq \|h_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \frac{|2a_i \cdot x - |a_i|^2|}{|x - a_i|^2 |x|^2} + \frac{\text{const}}{|x|^2} \left| \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} - \frac{x}{|x|} \right| \\ \leq \|h_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \frac{2|a_i||x| + |a_i|^2}{|x - a_i|^2 |x|^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2} \text{const}}{|x|^2} \sqrt{\frac{|x|(|x - a_i| - |x|) + a_i \cdot x}{|x - a_i||x|}} \leq \frac{\text{const}}{|x|^{5/2}}.$$

Since, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n^2 \psi_R}{|x|^{5/2}} dx \leq \left( \int_{|x|>R} u_n^{2^*} \right)^{2/2^*} \left( \int_{|x|>R} |x|^{-\frac{5}{4}N} \right)^{2/N} = O(R^{-1/2})$$

as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly with respect to  $n$ , we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right) \frac{\psi_R u_n^2}{|x - a_i|^2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k h_i \left( \frac{x}{|x|} \right)}{|x|^2} \psi_R u_n^2 dx + O(R^{-1/2})$$

as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly with respect to  $n$ , hence

$$(55) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^k h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right) \frac{\psi_R u_n^2}{|x - a_i|^2} dx = \gamma_\infty.$$

Passing to lim-sup as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and limits as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in (54) and using (55), we obtain that

$$(56) \quad \mu_\infty - \gamma_\infty = S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \nu_\infty.$$

From (53) and (56) we conclude that

$$(57) \quad \text{either } \nu_\infty = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \nu_\infty \geq \left( \frac{S(\sum_{i=1}^k h_i)}{S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)} \right)^{N/2}.$$

As a conclusion we obtain

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} c &= J(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle + o(1) = \frac{1}{N} S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*} dx + o(1) \\ &= \frac{S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)}{N} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{2^*} dx + \sum_{i=1}^k \nu_{a_i} + \nu_\infty + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \nu_{x_j} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (42), (58), (47), (51), and (57), we deduce that  $\nu_{x_j} = 0$  for any  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $\nu_{a_i} = 0$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $\nu_\infty = 0$ . Then up to a subsequence  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . ■

The Palais-Smale condition recovered in Theorem 4.1 and the interaction estimates proved in Proposition 3.4 are the key tools to prove Theorem 1.4.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Let  $\{u_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a minimizing sequence for (3). From the homogeneity of the quotient, we can require without restriction that  $\|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$ , while from Ekeland's variational principle we can assume that the sequence satisfies the Palais-Smale property, i.e. for any  $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|} \right) u_n(x)}{|x-a_i|^2} v(x) dx \\ - S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{2^*-2} u_n(x) v(x) dx = o(\|v\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $(\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*$  and

$$J(u_n) \rightarrow \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) = \frac{1}{N} S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k).$$

From assumption (13) and Proposition 3.4, we infer that

$$(59) \quad S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) < S(h_k).$$

From assumptions (11) and (14) we have that

$$(60) \quad S(h_k) \leq S(h_i) \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1, \quad \text{and} \quad S(h_k) \leq S\left(\sum_{i=1}^k h_i\right),$$

while from assumption (12) and Theorem 1.3 there holds

$$(61) \quad S(h_k) < S.$$

Gathering (59), (60), and (61), we finally have

$$S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) < \min \left\{ S, S(h_1), \dots, S(h_k), S\left(\sum_{i=1}^k h_i\right) \right\}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{N}S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) < \frac{1}{N}S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)^{1-\frac{N}{2}} \left( \min \left\{ S, S(h_1), \dots, S(h_k), S\left(\sum_{i=1}^k h_i\right) \right\} \right)^{N/2}.$$

From Theorem 4.1 we deduce that  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a subsequence strongly converging to some  $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , which satisfies  $J(u_0) = \frac{1}{N}S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)$ . In particular  $u_0$  achieves the infimum in (3). Since  $J(u_0) = J(|u_0|)$ , we have that also  $|u_0|$  is a minimizer in (3) and then  $v_0 = S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)^{1/(2^*-2)}|u_0|$  is a nonnegative solution to equation (1). The maximum principle in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  implies that  $v_0 > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ . ■

Let us now consider the case of singularities generated by electric dipoles. In order to prove Corollary 1.5, we first need to establish the following monotonicity property of ground state levels with respect to the dipole magnitudes.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $\Lambda_N^{-1} > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|\mathbf{d}_1| = |\mathbf{d}_2| = 1$ , and  $h_i(\theta) = \lambda_i \theta \cdot \mathbf{d}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $S(h_2) \geq S(h_1)$ .*

PROOF. We first notice that, by rotation invariance, for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $S(\lambda \theta \cdot \mathbf{d})$  does not depend on the unit vector  $\mathbf{d}$ , hence  $S(h_2) = S(\tilde{h}_2)$  where  $\tilde{h}_2(\theta) = \lambda_2 \theta \cdot \mathbf{d}_1$ .

From Theorem 1.3, there exists  $w \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$(62) \quad \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |\nabla w(x)|^2 - \frac{\lambda_2 x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} w^2(x) \right] dx}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w(x)|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}} = S(\tilde{h}_2).$$

We claim that the quotient at the left hand side decreases after passing to polarization with respect to the half-space  $H_{\mathbf{d}_1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1 \geq 0\}$ . We denote as  $\sigma_{\mathbf{d}_1} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  the reflection with respect to the boundary of  $H_{\mathbf{d}_1}$ , i.e.  $\sigma_{\mathbf{d}_1}(x) = x - 2(x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1)\mathbf{d}_1$ . The polarization of any measurable nonnegative function  $u$  with respect to  $H_{\mathbf{d}_1}$  is defined as

$$u_{\mathbf{d}_1}(x) := \begin{cases} \max\{u(x), u(\sigma_{\mathbf{d}_1}(x))\}, & \text{if } x \in H_{\mathbf{d}_1}, \\ \min\{u(x), u(\sigma_{\mathbf{d}_1}(x))\}, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus H_{\mathbf{d}_1}. \end{cases}$$

From well known properties of polarization, there holds

$$(63) \quad \|\nabla |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad \text{and} \quad \| |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1} \|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|w\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

see [22, Propositions 22.2 and 22.5]. Moreover

$$(64) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} (|w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2 - w^2) dx \\ &= \int_{H_{\mathbf{d}_1}} \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} (|w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2 - |w|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus H_{\mathbf{d}_1}} \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} (|w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2 - |w|^2) dx \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and, through the change of variables  $x = \sigma_{\mathbf{d}_1}(y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (65) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2(x) dx &= \int_{H_{\mathbf{d}_1}} \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus H_{\mathbf{d}_1}} \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2(x) dx \\
 &= \int_{H_{\mathbf{d}_1}} \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2(x) dx - \int_{H_{\mathbf{d}_1}} \frac{y \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|y|^3} |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2(\sigma_{\mathbf{d}_1}(y)) dy \\
 &= \int_{H_{\mathbf{d}_1}} \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} (|w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2(x) - |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2(\sigma_{\mathbf{d}_1}(x))) dx \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (62–65), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(h_2) = S(\tilde{h}_2) &\geq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}(x)|^2 - \frac{\lambda_2 x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2(x)] dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ||w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}(x)|^{2^*} dx\right)^{2/2^*}} \\
 &\geq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}(x)|^2 - \frac{\lambda_1 x \cdot \mathbf{d}_1}{|x|^3} |w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2(x)] dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ||w|_{\mathbf{d}_1}(x)|^{2^*} dx\right)^{2/2^*}} \geq S(h_1),
 \end{aligned}$$

thus proving the stated inequality. ■

**Proof of Corollary 1.5.** Theorem 1.4 applies with  $h_i(\theta) = \lambda_i \theta \cdot \mathbf{d}_i$ . Indeed, (11) follows from Lemma 4.2, (13) comes from (15) and (14) from (16) and Lemma 4.2. ■

## 5. THE PROBLEM ON BOUNDED DOMAINS

In this section we discuss the existence of ground state solutions to (20) by analyzing the associated minimization problem (19) on a bounded smooth domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$ , containing points  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ . The corresponding functional is given by

$$(66) \quad J_\Omega(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x-a_i}{|x-a_i|} \right)}{|x-a_i|^2} v^2(x) dx - \frac{S(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)}{2^*} \int_\Omega |v|^{2^*} dx.$$

By boundedness of the domain, minimizing sequences of (19) cannot lose mass at infinity. Hence, arguing as in Theorem 4.1, the following local Palais-Smale condition can be obtained.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that (18) holds. Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a Palais-Smale sequence for  $J_\Omega$ , namely  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\Omega(u_n) = c$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J'_\Omega(u_n) = 0$  in the dual space  $(H_0^1(\Omega))^*$ . If*

$$c < c_\Omega^* = \frac{1}{N} S_\Omega(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)^{1-\frac{N}{2}} \min \left\{ S, S(h_1), \dots, S(h_k) \right\}^{N/2},$$

then  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a converging subsequence.

In a bounded domain, the comparison between ground state levels of dipole-type and multi-dipole type problems is more delicate and requires an analysis of the concentration behavior of cutted-off test functions. To this aim we need, besides the asymptotic behavior of functions  $\phi_h$  at infinity, also the behavior of their gradient, which we are going to deduce from Green's representation formula and the following property of differentiability of *Newtonian potentials*.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded smooth domain,  $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ , for every  $p \in [1, 2)$ , and let  $u$  be the Newtonian potential of  $g$ , i.e.*

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{N(2-N)\omega_N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy.$$

Then  $u \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $q \in (\frac{N}{N-2}, \frac{2N}{N-2})$  and the weak derivatives of  $u$  are given by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) = \frac{1}{N\omega_N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(y)(x_i - y_i)}{|x-y|^N} dy, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

PROOF. The proof can be obtained by approximation from [14, Lemma 4.1, p. 54] using the  $L^p$  inequalities for singular Riesz potentials proved in [20, Theorem 1, p. 119]. We refer to [9, Lemma A.1] for a detailed proof in the case  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ , which can be followed step by step yielding Lemma 5.2. ■

From the above lemma and Green's representation formula we derive the following estimate on the behavior of solutions  $\phi_h$  as  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *For  $h \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$  verifying  $\mu_1(h) \geq -(\frac{N-2}{2})^2 + 1$  and (9), let  $\phi_h \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\phi_h \geq 0$ ,  $\phi_h \not\equiv 0$ , be as in (21-22). Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$(67) \quad |\nabla \phi_h(x)| = \begin{cases} O(|x|^{-\sigma_h - N + 1}), & \text{if } \mu_1(h) < N - 1, \\ O(|x|^{-N + \varepsilon}), & \text{if } \mu_1(h) \geq N - 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

PROOF. Let  $w_h(x) := |x|^{-(N-2)} \phi_h(x/|x|^2)$  be the Kelvin transform of  $\phi_h$ . Then  $w_h$  solves

$$-\Delta w_h = g \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$g(x) = \frac{h(x/|x|)}{|x|^2} w_h(x) + w_h^{2^*-1}(x).$$

Moreover, a direct calculation yields the following relation between the gradients of  $\phi_h$  and of its Kelvin transform

$$(68) \quad \nabla \phi_h(x) = |x|^{-N} \nabla w_h\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) - 2x|x|^{-N-2} x \cdot \nabla w_h\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) - (N-2)|x|^{-N} w_h\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) x.$$

From (23),  $w_h(x) = O(|x|^{\sigma_h})$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ , hence  $g(x) = O(|x|^{\sigma_h - 2})$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, from  $\mu_1(h) \geq -(\frac{N-2}{2})^2 + 1$ , it follows that  $g \in L^p(B(0, 1))$  for every  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

Green's representation formula yields

$$(69) \quad w_h(x) = \frac{1}{N(N-2)\omega_N} \left[ \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy + \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}} \frac{\partial w_h}{\partial \nu} dS(y) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{N\omega_N} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{w_h(y)}{|x-y|^N} (y-x) \cdot \nu(y) dS(y), \quad x \in B(0, 1),$$

where  $\omega_N$  denotes the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\nu$  is the unit outward normal to  $\partial B(0, 1)$ , and  $dS$  indicates the  $(N-1)$ -dimensional area element in  $\partial B(0, 1)$ . It is easy to verify that the functions

$$x \mapsto \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}} \frac{\partial w_h}{\partial \nu} dS(y), \quad x \mapsto \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{w_h(y)}{|x-y|^N} (y-x) \cdot \nu(y) dS(y),$$

are of class  $C^1(B(0, 1))$ . From Lemma 5.2, we have that

$$\nabla \left( \frac{1}{N(N-2)\omega_N} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy \right) = -\frac{1}{N\omega_N} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^N} g(y) dy,$$

and hence

$$(70) \quad \left| \nabla \left( \frac{1}{N(N-2)\omega_N} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy \right) \right| \leq \text{const} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{|y|^{\sigma_h-2}}{|x-y|^{N-1}} dy.$$

If  $\mu_1(h) < N-1$ , i.e.  $\sigma_h < 1$ , then

$$\left| \nabla \left( \frac{1}{N(N-2)\omega_N} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy \right) \right| \leq \text{const} f(x),$$

where

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^{\sigma_h-2}}{|x-y|^{N-1}} dy.$$

An easy scaling argument shows that  $f(\alpha x) = \alpha^{\sigma_h-1} f(x)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , hence  $f(x) = |x|^{\sigma_h-1} f(e_1)$ , where  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then, if  $\mu_1(h) < N-1$ ,

$$(71) \quad \left| \nabla \left( \frac{1}{N(N-2)\omega_N} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy \right) \right| \leq \text{const} |x|^{\sigma_h-1}.$$

If  $\mu_1(h) \geq N-1$ , i.e.  $\sigma_h \geq 1$ , we fix  $0 < \varepsilon < N-1$  and notice that, from (70),

$$\left| \nabla \left( \frac{1}{N(N-2)\omega_N} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy \right) \right| \leq \text{const} k_\varepsilon(x),$$

where

$$k_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|y|^{1+\varepsilon}|y-x|^{N-1}} dy.$$

An easy scaling argument shows that  $k_\varepsilon(\alpha x) = \alpha^{-\varepsilon} k_\varepsilon(x)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , hence  $k_\varepsilon(x) = |x|^{-\varepsilon} k_\varepsilon(e_1)$ . Then, if  $\mu_1(h) \geq N-1$

$$(72) \quad \left| \nabla \left( \frac{1}{N(N-2)\omega_N} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy \right) \right| \leq C(\varepsilon) |x|^{-\varepsilon},$$

for some positive constant  $C(\varepsilon)$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  (and also on  $N$ ,  $h$ , and  $w_h$ ). Representation (69), regularity of the boundary terms, and estimates (71–72) yield

$$(73) \quad \nabla w_h(x) = \begin{cases} O(|x|^{\sigma_h-1}), & \text{if } \mu_1(h) < N-1, \\ O(|x|^{-\varepsilon}), & \text{if } \mu_1(h) \geq N-1, \end{cases} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

Estimate (67) follows then from (73) and (68). ■

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . There holds*

$$(74) \quad S_\Omega(h_1, \dots, h_k) \leq S(h_j) + O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2}) \\ - \begin{cases} \mu^2 \|\phi_{h_j}\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_{h_j}^2(x) \right) \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{a_j - a_i}{|a_j - a_i|} \right)}{|a_j - a_i|^2} + o(1) \right), & \text{if } \mu_1(h_j) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1, \\ \mu^2 \|\phi_{h_j}\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-2} \left( \int_{|x| < \frac{1}{\mu}} \phi_{h_j}^2(x) \right) \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{a_j - a_i}{|a_j - a_i|} \right)}{|a_j - a_i|^2} + o(1) \right), & \text{if } \mu_1(h_j) = -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1, \end{cases}$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ .

PROOF. Let  $\omega$  be an open set such that  $\bar{\omega} \subset \Omega$  and  $a_j \in \omega$  and let  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a smooth cut-off function such that  $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$ ,  $\psi \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ ,  $\psi \equiv 1$  in  $\omega$ . Then  $\psi(x)\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{N-2}{2}$ . We claim that, as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ , the following estimates hold:

$$(75) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\psi(x)\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j))|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\phi_{h_j}(x)|^2 dx + O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2}) + O(\mu^{N-2\varepsilon})$$

$$(76) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_j \left( \frac{x - a_j}{|x - a_j|} \right)}{|x - a_j|^2} |\psi(x)\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_j \left( \frac{x}{|x|} \right)}{|x|^2} |\phi_{h_j}(x)|^2 dx + O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2})$$

$$(77) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right)}{|x - a_i|^2} |\psi(x)\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x + a_j - a_i}{|x + a_j - a_i|} \right)}{|x + a_j - a_i|^2} |\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x)|^2 dx + O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2})$$

$$(78) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi(x)\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi_{h_j}(x)|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*} + O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2}).$$

Let us prove (75). We have that

$$(79) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\psi(x)\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j))|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi^2(x) |\nabla\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^2 dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^2 |\nabla\psi(x)|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi(x)\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j) \nabla\psi(x) \cdot \nabla\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j) dx.$$

In view of (67) we have

$$(80) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi^2(x) |\nabla\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^2 dx \right| \\ = \int_{\mu^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \omega) - a_j)} (1 - \psi^2(\mu y + a_j)) |\nabla\phi_{h_j}(y)|^2 dy = \begin{cases} O(\mu^{N-2+2\sigma_{h_j}}), & \text{if } \mu_1(h_j) < N - 1, \\ O(\mu^{N-2\varepsilon}), & \text{if } \mu_1(h_j) \geq N - 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(81) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^2 |\nabla\psi(x)|^2 dx \leq \text{const } \mu^2 \int_{\mu^{-1}((\Omega \setminus \omega) - a_j)} |\phi_{h_j}(y)|^2 dy \\ \leq \text{const } \mu^2 \int_{\mu^{-1}r}^{\mu^{-1}R} s^{2(-\sigma_{h_j} - N + 2) + N - 1} ds = O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2}),$$

where  $r = \text{dist}(a_j, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \omega)$  and  $R > 0$  is such that  $\Omega \subset B(a_j, R)$ . Similarly,

$$(82) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi(x) \phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j) \nabla \psi(x) \cdot \nabla \phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j) dx = O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2}) + O(\mu^{N - 2\varepsilon}).$$

Estimate (75) follows from (80–82). The proof of (76–78) is analogous and is based on (24). From

$$S_\Omega(h_1, \dots, h_k) \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\psi(x) \phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j))|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_j \left( \frac{x - a_j}{|x - a_j|} \right)}{|x - a_j|^2} |\psi(x) \phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^2 dx}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi(x) \phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}} \\ - \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|} \right)}{|x - a_i|^2} |\psi(x) \phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^2 dx}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi(x) \phi_\mu^{h_j}(x - a_j)|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}},$$

Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, and (75–78), it follows that

$$S_\Omega(h_1, \dots, h_k) \leq S(h_j) + O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2}) + O(\mu^{N - 2\varepsilon}) \\ - \begin{cases} \mu^2 \|\phi_{h_j}\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_{h_j}^2(x) \right) \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{a_j - a_i}{|a_j - a_i|} \right)}{|a_j - a_i|^2} + o(1) \right), & \text{if } \mu_1(h_j) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1, \\ \mu^2 \|\phi_{h_j}\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-2} \left( \int_{|x| < \frac{1}{\mu}} \phi_{h_j}^2(x) \right) \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{a_j - a_i}{|a_j - a_i|} \right)}{|a_j - a_i|^2} + o(1) \right), & \text{if } \mu_1(h_j) = -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1, \end{cases}$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ . Since  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{N-2}{2}$ , there holds  $O(\mu^{N-2\varepsilon}) = o(\mu^2)$ , thus implying the validity of (74). ■

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\mu_1(h_j) \geq -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$ . If*

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{h_i \left( \frac{a_j - a_i}{|a_j - a_i|} \right)}{|a_j - a_i|^2} > 0,$$

then

$$S_\Omega(h_1, \dots, h_k) < S(h_j).$$

**PROOF.** It follows directly from Lemma 5.4 after noticing that if  $\mu_1(h_j) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$  then  $2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2 > 2$  and hence  $O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2}) = o(\mu^2)$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ , while if  $\mu_1(h_j) = -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + 1$  then  $2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2 = 2$  and hence  $O(\mu^{2\sigma_{h_j} + N - 2}) = o(\mu^2 \int_{|x| < \frac{1}{\mu}} \phi_{h_j}^2(x))$ . Taking  $\mu$  sufficiently small, we obtain  $S_\Omega(h_1, \dots, h_k) < S(h_j)$ . ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** It follows from Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.5 arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.4. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Abdellaoui, V. Felli, I. Peral, *Existence and multiplicity for perturbations of an equation involving Hardy inequality and critical Sobolev exponent in the whole  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Adv. Differential Equations, 9 (2004), 481–508.
- [2] H. Brézis, T. Kato, *Remarks on the Schrödinger operator with singular complex potentials*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 58 (1979), no. 2, 137–151.
- [3] H. Brézis, L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 36 (1983), no. 4, 437–477.
- [4] D. Cao, P. Han, *Solutions to critical elliptic equations with multi-singular inverse square potentials*, J. Differential Equations, 224 (2006), no. 2, 332–372.
- [5] J. Chen, *Multiple positive solutions for a semilinear equation with prescribed singularity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 305 (2005), no. 1, 140–157.
- [6] F. Catrina, Z.-Q. Wang, *On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54 (2001), no. 2, 229–258.
- [7] T. Duyckaerts, *Inégalités de résolvante pour l'opérateur de Schrödinger avec potentiel multipolaire critique*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 134 (2006), no. 2, 201–239.
- [8] R. Bosi, J. Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban, *Estimates for the optimal constants in multipolar Hardy inequalities for Schrödinger and Dirac operators*, preprint 2006.
- [9] V. Felli, E.M. Marchini, S. Terracini, *On Schrödinger operators with multipolar inverse-square potentials*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 250 (2007), 265–316.
- [10] V. Felli, E. M. Marchini, S. Terracini, *On the behavior of solutions to Schrödinger equations with dipole type potentials near the singularity*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, to appear.
- [11] V. Felli, E. M. Marchini, S. Terracini, *On Schrödinger operators with multisingular inverse-square anisotropic potentials*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear.
- [12] V. Felli, S. Terracini, *Elliptic equations with multi-singular inverse-square potentials and critical nonlinearity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 31 (2006), no. 1-3, 469–495.
- [13] J. García Azorero, I. Peral, *Hardy Inequalities and some critical elliptic and parabolic problems*, J. Diff. Equations, 144 (1998), no. 2, 441–476.
- [14] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. Second edition*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 224. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [15] E. Jannelli, *The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems*, J. Differential Equations, 156 (1999), no. 2, 407–426.
- [16] J. M. Lévy-Leblond, *Electron capture by polar molecules*, Phys. Rev., 153 (1967), no. 1, 1–4.
- [17] P. L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case, part 1*, Rev. Matemática Iberoamericana, 1 (1985), no. 1, 145–201.
- [18] P. L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case, part 2*, Rev. Matemática Iberoamericana, 1 (1985), no. 2, 45–121.
- [19] D. Smets, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with Hardy potential and critical nonlinearities*, Trans. AMS, 357 (2005), 2909–2938.
- [20] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970.
- [21] S. Terracini, *On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with singular coefficient and critical exponent*, Adv. Diff. Equa., 1 (1996), no. 2, 241–264.
- [22] M. Willem, *Analyse fonctionnelle élémentaire*, Cassini Éditeurs. Paris, 2003.

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO BICOCCA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, VIA COZZI 53, 20125 MILANO, ITALY.

*E-mail address:* veronica.felli@unimib.it.